

**1000
New Subscribers
By April 1st!**

Socialist Appeal

Official Organ of the Socialist Workers Party, Section of the Fourth International

Issued Twice Weekly

**Get the Appeal
At Your Local
Newsstand**

VOL. III—No. 15

TUESDAY, MARCH 14, 1939

• 375

3¢ per copy

FIGHT REPRESSIONS IN SPAIN

CIO Heads Meet AFL Over Unity

Warring Camps May Form One Big Union

CONSIDER CIO PLAN

(Special to the Socialist Appeal)
WASHINGTON, March 9.—The joint committee representing the Congress of Industrial Organizations and the American Federation of Labor, which was initiated at the request of President Roosevelt to consider the possibilities of peace between the A.F. of L. and the C.I.O., recessed yesterday, agreeing to meet in New York again Friday to continue discussions.

At the end of the White House conference last Tuesday, John L. Lewis, one of the C.I.O. members of the joint committee, handed the other representatives and President Roosevelt copies of a proposed plan to form a new organization, the American Congress of Labor, which would embrace both camps, and the independent Big Four railway brotherhoods.

The A.F. of L. promptly rejected the proposal, stating that it did not offer "any possible solution to the problems facing us. We are convinced it was not even designed for serious consideration."

Upon the following day, however, the A.F. of L. announced that it would consider the C.I.O. plan and "any other suggestions that may be laid before it."

F.D. Would Preside

The C.I.O. plan calls for special conventions of the C.I.O. and the A.F. of L. to pass upon and approve the plan for forming a new organization. A convention would then be called with delegates from the A.F. of L., the C.I.O. and the four brotherhoods in the railroad transportation field.

This convention, at which President Roosevelt would preside, would organize the American Congress of Labor designed to supersede and embrace the C.I.O. and the A.F. of L. and to include the railroad unions.

Neither Wm. Green nor John L. Lewis would be eligible for office, the president being selected from the railroad brotherhoods. Wm. Green and Frank Morrison would receive a life long pension at their present salaries.

The plan calls for equal representation from the C.I.O. and the A.F. of L. with proportionate representation from the Brotherhoods, which would give these conservative organizations the balance of power. For a year after the new organization was formed the Department of Labor and its conciliation bureau would mediate all controversial questions affecting overlapping jurisdiction among the member unions.

Tobin Attends

Following the White House conference, Daniel J. Tobin of the teamsters who has been a strong advocate of unity between the A.F. of L. and the C.I.O., agreed to reconsider his resignation from the joint committee and attended the next meeting of the committee which discussed some of the issues with Secretary of Labor Perkins.

The A.F. of L. committee is composed of Harry C. Bates, President of the Bricklayers, Masons, and Plasterers International, Matthew Woll, A.F. of L. vice-president, and Thomas A. Rickert, president of the United Garment Workers Union. Daniel J. Tobin, president of the International Brotherhood of Teamsters made a fourth member.

The C.I.O. committee consists of John L. Lewis, leader of the C.I.O., Philip Murray, vice-president of the United Mine Workers, and Sidney Hillman, president of the Amalgamated Clothing Workers.

First comment from the railroad unions on the proposal for unification came from Alexander F. Whitney, president of the Brotherhood of Railroad Trainmen, who stated that although he did not favor the term, "one big union," nevertheless he favored

The Gravedigger of the Revolution



Roosevelt Attacks Referendum on War

Gallup Poll Shows Boss Gov't Does Not Represent Will of People

In brutal disregard of overwhelming popular sentiment, the administration war-mongers, headed by President Roosevelt, continued this week to lash out against the new proposal for a constitutional amendment to require a nation-wide referendum before the United States should enter a war overseas.

On Wednesday, the American Institute of Public Opinion (the "Gallup poll") released the results of its latest survey on the question of the war referendum. These showed the decisive majority of 58% in favor of the referendum. Significantly enough, the most frequent reason given for supporting the referendum was, according to the release, that "the politicians should consult the people, because the people have to do the fighting."

Indicates Distrust

The present Gallup majority of 58% is a considerable drop from the really tremendous majorities (ranging up to 71%) shown in previous surveys; but when it is kept in mind that during the past two years are almost unprecedented campaign against the referendum has been conducted by the administration and by the entire press of the country, and that the leading supporters of the referendum have replied in the most feeble and weak-kneed fashion, the 58% figure is a remarkable expression of the distrust felt by the people toward the Roosevelt war plans.

The war referendum, as now proposed by the La Follette bloc in the Senate, is so filled with loopholes that it could not, of course, stop the war. Nevertheless, it offers some hindrance and obstacle, some chance for the masses to express their true opinion. The war-mongers are therefore out to smash it to bits because, in their headlong drive toward the war, they cannot endure the slightest brake on their plans.

POLICE GIVE NAZI PERSONAL GUARD

(Special to the Socialist Appeal)
NEW YORK, N. Y., March 8

—A personal guard for Fritz Kuhn, fascist leader of the German-American Bund, has been established by LaGuardia police Inspector Charles Mooney.

"I ordered it on my own responsibility," the Inspector said. "I didn't want some crackpot to go there and break windows or interfere with Kuhn when he comes and goes."

On February 20 when LaGuardia assembled the greatest concentration of police in the history of New York around the fascist meeting at Madison Square Garden, Kuhn thanked the LaGuardia Police Department for its protection and led the assembly of fascists in an ovation to the police.

(Continued on Page 2)

HAIL THE FIGHTERS OF MADRID!!

AN EDITORIAL

The revolutionary workers and soldiers of Madrid fought this week with their backs against the wall.

Against overwhelming odds, abandoned by their own leaders and their own organizations, they fought with immeasurable courage against the agents of Fascism who sprang up behind them in the government they had been taught to regard as their own.

The boss press has tacked the label "Communist" to these heroes of Madrid but the men and women fighting today in Madrid are the workers and soldiers of all parties, Communists, Socialists, Anarchists, and non-party workers who would fight on to the death rather than accept the enslaving yoke of Fascism.

Now, as before, we salute them and pledge our undying solidarity!

Death rather than Fascist slavery! This is the heroic spirit that has characterized the Spanish masses throughout these bloody 32 months of civil war!

If they have been backed against the wall now, the responsibility lies with their cowardly and treacherous leaders who have known only how to lead them into a blind alley.

Gen. Jose Miaja, Casado and the other generals—only yesterday the "heroes" of the People's Front—seized power in Madrid, begged Franco for an "honorable peace" and turned their guns on the revolutionary workers and soldiers.

Premier Negrin, Del Vayo and all the other ministers fled back to France. With them went the leaders of the Communist Party, headed by La Pasionaria, who only a few days ago pledged to keep up the fight as long as there was an inch of soil left to defend. Since their arrival in France, La Pasionaria and the other Stalinist bureaucrats have crawled into their holes and have not even expressed solidarity with their abandoned comrades!

But the workers and peasants cannot run away. They cannot be taken by the Fascist terror. Surrender means enslavement for all workers and certain death for all the militant fighters of all parties and all unions of the workers. For the workers it is a choice of slavery or the fight for freedom. The men making the magnificent stand in Madrid have made their choice clear. How worthy of better leaders are these heroic fighters!

This, then, is the tragic, final chapter of the People's Front—the organizer of defeats and new catastrophes for the working class. All the People's Front "heroes"—so loudly hailed by the Communist Party—have today either abandoned the fight or gone over to the other side, to the side of the Fascists.

And today the Communist Party whines that it has been "betrayed."

But who really are the betrayers? For two and a half years the People's Front in Spain has been carrying out the orders of French and British imperialism in the interests of their maneuvers against Italo-German imperialism. To make this possible the Stalinists crushed the magnificent revolutionary movement of the Spanish workers and peasants and yoked them to the generals and the capitalist politicians. Now Britain and France seek and demand liquidation of the civil war in Spain and with perfect consistency, Miaja, Casado, and the others are carrying out their orders.

No, the betrayers are those who said the People's Front would or could defeat Fascism. The betrayers are those who destroyed the mass movement and crushed the Spanish revolution and made it possible for the Fascists to sweep across the country and have now made it possible for the generals and politicians on the Loyalist side to seek salvation for their own skins by making a blood-sacrifice of the revolutionary soldiers and workers.

The Communist Party betrayed the masses of Spain by butchering the revolution. Now its own best militants are reaping the reward: they are being butchered on the streets of Madrid, Valencia, and half a dozen other cities in the remaining Loyalist zone.

In Shanghai 12 years ago—on the bloody day of April 12, 1927—Chiang Kai-shek played exactly the same role that Miaja is playing today in Madrid. He transformed himself overnight from the hero of the "People's Front" of that period into the brutal butcher of the working class. Like Miaja, he too took as his chief victims the same Communist militants who had been taught to follow him so blindly. And like those heroic Chinese workers, the revolutionary militants of Madrid fought back in a desperate, heroic rear-guard battle.

The People's Front—"weapon of the anti-Fascist struggle"—has broken like a reed once more in the hands of the workers. Now all the traitors and cowards and head-shakers want to say that their struggle, their sacrifice, their heroism, has been and is futile.

But the masses have displayed not futility but the most inspiring, most creative courage the world has ever seen! Their struggle has been again and again defeated because they have been misled by those who serve not the interests of the workers but the interests of the bosses, of the capitalist rulers of society.

The workers and soldiers in Madrid are proving again that their will to struggle, guided and molded by a revolutionary party that will unwaveringly fight for a workers' revolution, can and will put an end to the dying capitalist system that threatens to engulf us all in war, misery, privation, and death.

We will fight Fascism by fighting capitalism and all its minions, not by compromising with them. This, in their hour of tragedy, the Spanish workers are once more teaching us. Let us prove that their struggle is not in vain!

Workers In Madrid Battle New Junta

Communist Party Leaders Abandon Fight At Critical Hour; Miaja Seeks Franco Favor Through Blood-Bath

WAGE HEROIC STRUGGLE

By FELIX MORROW

Battles in a dozen cities between the forces of the Madrid Defense Junta and troops opposed to surrender to Franco were still going on late Thursday night, in spite of two previous announcements by the Junta that it had succeeded in suppressing its opponents.

Labelled indiscriminately "Communists" by the Popular Front Junta, the resisting troops definitely included regiments never identified with Communist party leadership. Moreover, the fighting broke out Tuesday, whereas the top leadership of the Communist party and the Negrin cabinet had fled the country the previous day.

A Paris dispatch dated Tuesday to the Stalinist Daily Worker already reported that "More than 400 Loyalists, including many Communist leaders, escaped by plane and boat to Oran and other French North African ports."

Furthermore, the Communist party press here, serviced by Comintern cable service, gives no indication of accepting responsibility for leadership of the fight against the Junta. It describes the top leadership of the Communist party and the Negrin cabinet had fled the country the previous day.

Had the Communist party actually planned to resist the Junta's program of capitulation to Franco, it would have arranged, it seems reasonable to assume, for its leadership to remain in Spain, if necessary in hiding, instead of ignominiously fleeing to foreign soil.

Certainly the allegation of the Popular Front Junta that the resisting troops are fighting at the behest of the Negrin cabinet is nonsense, for Negrin himself has refused to support the opposition to the Junta.

Negrin "Reconciled"

In an interview while on the way from Toulouse to Paris on Tuesday, Dr. Negrin "expressed himself as reconciled to the failure of his long effort and hopeful that peace could now be made between the generals in charge of Spain." (N.Y. Times, Mar. 9)

Later attempts to get Negrin to declare himself proved unavailing. The most that was published was a statement by a person near Negrin "duly authorized" to speak. And this—published in the Daily Worker under the misleading heading, "Negrin aide gives facts behind Casado betrayal"—not only did not denounce the Junta in any way but made no bones about the fact that Negrin himself was seeking to make peace with Franco.

The Negrin ministers, it said, "were mainly concerned with one task—to save the lives of tens of thousands of their comrades, Republicans, Socialists and Communists, who would become victims of reprisals from Franco if peace were to be signed without guarantees."

S.W.P. PICKET LINE FIGHTS FASCISTS IN LOS ANGELES

Cops Use Tear Gas To Guard Nazi Meeting

With the main leadership of the Communist party out of the country before the outbreak of fighting on Tuesday, it is difficult to credit the Junta's claim that their opponents are exclusively "Communists."

Communist Leaders Defied
Moreover, such leaders as remain appear nowhere in the reports by name as active figures. On the contrary the United Press stated Thursday afternoon that "It was reported the Communists defied orders of their leaders to surrender and renewed the revolt."

S.W.P. Pickets
In other words, the Negrin ministers did envision a peace without really binding guarantees of amnesty, and at most justified their course on the basis that they would precede the peace by evacuating from the country the Popular Front's leading elements.

It is clear, then, that this shade of difference—if there is even a shade—between the Negrin government and that of Miaja—can scarcely be the issue over which tens of thousands of troops are refusing to yield to the Junta.

Fighting Widespread
All of the Junta's claims to the contrary, the fighting is of major proportions. The Junta has had to bring a whole army corps into Madrid to attack the fighters in the working class district of Cuatro Caminos. Thursday afternoon, it was officially admitted that the "rebellion" was gaining ground in Ciudad Real, south of Toledo, and that Almeria had been captured by the "rebels."

Valencian troops of the Junta were preparing to defend the city against advancing "Communists." Communications between Madrid and Valencia had been cut. Pre-

(Continued on Page 3)

LEFT JABS

Buckets of Blood

The Academy of Medicine urged the French government to set aside reserves of human blood for transfusion operations in the event of war. Of course, of course, everything must be ready. Barrels of tin medals and gold stars, wooden crosses by the million, piles of crutches, lunatic asylums, schools for the blind, firing squads for those unwilling to die, and buckets of blood.

Infringe on Free Speech? Never!

Acting Secretary of the Navy, Charles Edison, submitted a bill to Congress, which provides for a fine of \$1,000 or two years imprisonment for any person or persons "who advises, counsels, urges, or solicits soldiers or sailors to disobey orders of superiors or military and naval regulations or who publishes or distributes any book, pamphlet, paper, print, article, letter or other writing containing such advice." Under this bill which Mr. Edison said was directed against Communists, the authorities would be authorized to seize subversive literature. Mr. Edison said: "It does not infringe upon the rights of free speech or free press." We thought, for a moment, that there was a slight infringement, but of course a government so devoted to the defense of democracy as ours is, would never think of infringing on any democratic right.

• • •

Maybe in King Arthur's Time

Major Attlee, leader of the British Labor Party, made, according to the N. Y. Times the following statement in the House of Commons: "There was a time when this country (the means Britain, mind you!) was universally known as the friend of liberty and the freedom of peoples and as the enemy of tyrants." Now, Mr. Attlee, on behalf of myself, a couple of Irishmen, a Negro or two and a few Indians, will you please tell us when that time was?

• • •

Oil Workers, Attention!

Count Ludwig Salm has arthritis, expensive tastes and no money to speak of. So, he wants to draw \$30,000 a year out of the oil workers of America. You see, the Count married Millicent, daughter of H. H. Rogers of Standard Oil and begat a son, Peter, now fourteen years of age. Col. Rogers couldn't take it with him, so he left sixty million bucks of which \$3,250,000 went to his grandson Peter. Now the Count, divorced from Millicent, is badly in need of cash. Both he and his mother, "the aged Countess" (pardon these tears) are threatened with eviction from their home in Monte Carlo and all that he asks, is that he be given a patty \$30,000 a year out of the millions which his son sucks out of the oil workers.

It's a wise child that knows its own father, but young Peter seems to have the straight dope on the Count, and he says to dear pappa: "Scram, I never want to see you again." If the workers of America would only learn from that fourteen year old brat how to deal with all their parasites... No, no, no, that wouldn't help Roosevelt and Hopkins and Browder "restore confidence to business" and as everyone knows that's what all progressive minded people must do to-day if we are to keep Fascism and Reaction away from our door.

• • •

A Letter a Day

Writing to your Congressman is the favorite indoor sport of the Communist party. Circulating petitions, their chief outside activity. One correspondent to the Daily Worker raises the slogan "A letter a day keeps reaction away." On Feb. 28 another, Sam Latner, complains, "I have frequently come home from work, had some spare time and wondered whether I ought not to write certain letters that ought to be written. I remembered several urgings to write certain letters but could not remember just to whom... I think it would be a good idea to run a column listing the letters that any progressive person ought to write in order to make his or her influence felt for good in the proper places." Ah, if only the German Communists had had this line in 1931-3 wouldn't Hitler have been stopped in his tracks.

—BILL

SHERIDAN SANDWICH SHOPPE
114 Union Place
next to headquarters
Special 25¢ Lunch!
Soup, 15¢ Sandwich, Coffee or
Milk with Pastry!
"Union Food at a Union Place"

sire for a united labor camp in which the labor officialdom will support his leadership when the rapidly looming war he is entering breaks.

The administration hopes too that, by taking the initiative in unifying the labor movement in response to the intense desire of the rank and file membership for unity, they can be regimented more easily behind Roosevelt's drive to help big business through outlawing strikes, slashing relief appropriations, and revising taxes so as to take a bigger cut out of the worker's pocketbook.

NEW INSURANCE BOSS TO SLASH OHIO PAYMENTS

(Special to the Socialist Appeal)

COLUMBUS, Ohio, March 10.—H. C. Atkinson, shrewd representative of big business and one of the first of its henchmen to discover that the Trotskyists are the genuine revolutionaries, has been appointed administrator of the Ohio Unemployment Compensation Commission.

He will have control over the \$100,000,000 unemployment compensation reserve which both A. F. of L. and C. I. O. state leaders admit is being converted into a war chest for a fight against labor.

His appointment came as the direct result of the trade union bureaucracy's "practical politics" in the last election when they supported capitalist party candidates instead of running their own ticket. The appointment of Atkinson is the opening gun of big business in its campaign to destroy what little relief the present unemployment insurance offers.

Already rubber companies are falsifying their payroll accounts in order to offer lower weekly benefits. This is done by arbitrarily increasing the record of number of weeks worked without increasing the total yearly wage of the worker. Thus the weekly average which should be paid over 16 weeks is reduced to starvation figures.

Hundreds of workers have been thrown off W. P. A. because they are eligible for compensation at the rate of from two to eight dollars a week. Thousands more will follow when the campaign to cut W. P. A. is completed.

The fight against Governor Bricker and his henchmen must be launched immediately with mass meetings and demonstrations against his anti-labor policy.

The fight to preserve and increase unemployment benefits must be linked with a general campaign against the anti-labor laws which are pending before the state legislature.

(Continued from Page 1)

the auspices of the Catholic Theatrical Union.

The pickets had mobilized before the meeting opened. Among the signs carried were: "Down with Franco," "The working class will destroy fascism," and "Fascist meetings today symbolize concentration camps tomorrow."

Pickets were peacefully parading before the entrance to the theater when the "students" came out and attempted to halt the picketing. When they failed, the police ordered the pickets to leave the street. Apparently some one attempted to lead the pickets away.

But the pickets soon returned, accompanied by a multitude that protested against the police action." The tear-gas attack followed, when the reserves arrived at the call of the chief of police.

The Los Angeles branch of the Socialist Workers Party had issued a call for the anti-Franco demonstration several days before.

Daily Worker Mum

The Daily Worker, central organ of the Communist party, carried no story on the Los Angeles events in its Thursday issue, nor any previous story on preparations for picketing.

Likewise the Daily Worker has carried nothing on the fight against a Nazi meeting in San Francisco on Feb. 24, although the San Francisco Chronicle reported that, in addition to the Socialist Workers Party, the Maritime Federation and the local veterans of the Abraham Lincoln Brigade had

ANDREWS AND BIRCH TRIAL SET SATURDAY

(Special to the Socialist Appeal)

NEW YORK, Mar. 9.—The case of Chris Andrews and Arthur Birch who are charged with "disorderly conduct" will be heard Saturday, March 11 at 9:30 A.M. in Magistrates Court at 314 West 54th St.

Birch and Andrews were arrested during a distribution of leaflets by the Socialist Workers Party at the Communist Party rally in Madison Square Garden, February 27.

The American Fund for Political Prisoners and Refugees has provided legal assistance for the defendants.

Speaker:

James Burnham
Auspices:
SOCIALIST WORKERS PARTY
Fourth International

Sunday, Mar. 12--8 P.M.
IRVING PLAZA
Irving Place and 15th St.
Admission 25¢ — Ticket for sale at the
LABOR BOOK SHOP, 28 East 12th St.

CIO-AFL Open New Unity Talks

PLAN FOR UNITED LABOR CONGRESS OFFERED BY CIO

(Continued from Page 1)

"going along" with the other groups if they wanted to "set up a cooperative program."

War Program Behind Move

Washington observers were skeptical of the possibilities of uniting the A.F. of L. and the C.I.O. Conflicting jurisdictional claims between the two groups constitute an extremely difficult barrier to surmount as does the fear of trade union bureaucrats that their posts would be jeopardized in a united organization.

The president's demand for unity is ascribed to his wish to consolidate labor forces behind the Democratic Party for the 1940 elections, serious losses having affected the New Deal during the last election because of the warfare in labor's camp.

Another vital consideration motivating the president is his de-

By NORMAN MINI

SACRAMENTO, Mar. 1.—A determined drive to cut the relief standards of the unemployed is gradually assuming serious proportions in California.

Speaking before a legislative committee last week Dewey Anderson, Relief Administrator, declared bluntly that "bankruptcy" faces the state if present relief conditions continue. This is but one of many recent moves by the Democratic state administration to convince the public at large that a serious crisis is impending that their posts would be jeopardized in a united organization.

The short time previously Anderson had presented to Governor Olson—with a great deal of publicity—a "Production for use" Plan designed to reduce relief costs. This was closely followed by an announcement from President Roosevelt that California would receive federal aid to help solve the "migrant" problem. And for the past month a legislative sub-committee has been holding open hearings on unemployment in the larger cities.

Bosses Demand Cuts

Originally announced as an attempt to win business, industrial and farm leaders to support the "Production for use" scheme the committee's meetings have rapidly developed into field days for reactionary attacks upon the unemployed. In practically every instance the spokesmen of the bosses' organizations have put forward the stern demand—cut relief costs!

Leo Shapiro, representing the San Francisco Trade Executives' Association, declared: "vast reductions could be made in this (relief) outlay without neglecting the problems of the truly needy." Harold Pomeroy, former Relief Administrator and now executive secretary of Associated Farmers said: "Production for use would prove only a disappointing failure."

Actually the elements of a serious relief crisis exist in California. Primarily the unemployed problem is a rural condition. Vast numbers of migratory agricultural laborers, who work only intermittently during the harvests, are thrown onto the relief rolls during the off seasons. Within the last few years the number of these transient workers has increased considerably due to the influx of dispossessed and homeless farmers and sharecroppers from the South and Middle West. A recent estimate places the number dependent upon relief in California at the astounding figure of 550,000.

Ghost of Epic Plan

The Republicans intend to discredit Olson further by putting pressure upon his government to cut relief standards. The Democrats, powerless to fight this maneuver politically, have started a campaign to rally both capital-

ists and masses to support a relief program at least as large as that put through by the late Merriam government.

Thus the "Production for use" Plan is an attempt to invoke the radical ghost of Upton Sinclair's Epic following; and clouds of political ballyhoo have so far obscured the concrete details of the scheme. Although there has been vague talk of a survey of idle factories and a bond issue of \$100,000,000 to finance the idea, most of the energy expended by the Olson lieutenants has taken the form of assuring industrialists and corporation farmers that the scheme will not compete with private industry, and that it would be a sure-fire way to slash the relief budget.

The hypocritical agricultural and business leaders try to throw smoke screen around this condition by shouting loudly of the "permanently unemployed" and "homeless migrants." But these interests deliberately encourage migration to the agricultural regions in order to ensure a large supply of lowly paid harvest labor.

To reduce wages to the barest subsistence level the overlords of the huge corporation farms attempt to force the state to bear most of the expense of keeping these thousands of workers barely alive. Thus their appeals for "federal aid" are nothing more than an attempt to shift this burden a little more upon the government and to open the way for further wage cuts.

Political Crisis

But such conditions have existed now for several years and they will continue to exist until drastic action by the workers change them. The fact is that the present crisis is a political rather than an economic or financial one. The Olson state government, coming into office after 44 years of G.O.P. domination, is finding itself completely surrounded by the Republican machines. The State Senate, most of the State Bureaus (Attorney General, Treasury, Secretary of State, etc.) and the State Personnel Board are completely dominated by the old machine and Olson is unable to carry through the simplest proposal without the consent of these ultra-reactionaries. In the meantime members of the loosely organized "Democratic Front" that elected Olson have begun to get restless (with the exception of the Stalinists and the labor bureaucrats, of course); and Democratic henchmen who have thus far failed to get jobs have started to criticize.

Unpromising as these developments appear on the surface they actually foreshadow the rebirth of California's militant unemployed movement. WPA and relief workers can maintain their present meager standards only by clamping an unremitting organized pressure upon the relief apparatus and the government. They can save themselves from a terrible future only by laying hands on the demagogic promises of the "Production for use" propaganda and pushing to realize them in action. The original successes of the Relief Workers' Protective Union in Los Angeles and the formation of the Union of Progressive Workers in San Francisco are the first healthy indications that this new movement will not fall short of its goals.

Unpromising as these developments appear on the surface they actually foreshadow the rebirth of California's militant unemployed movement. WPA and relief workers can maintain their present meager standards only by clamping an unremitting organized pressure upon the relief apparatus and the government. They can save themselves from a terrible future only by laying hands on the demagogic promises of the "Production for use" propaganda and pushing to realize them in action. The original successes of the Relief Workers' Protective Union in Los Angeles and the formation of the Union of Progressive Workers in San Francisco are the first healthy indications that this new movement will not fall short of its goals.

isists and masses to support a relief program at least as large as that put through by the late Merriam government.

Thus the "Production for use" Plan is an attempt to invoke the radical ghost of Upton Sinclair's Epic following; and clouds of political ballyhoo have so far obscured the concrete details of the scheme. Although there has been vague talk of a survey of idle factories and a bond issue of \$100,000,000 to finance the idea, most of the energy expended by the Olson lieutenants has taken the form of assuring industrialists and corporation farmers that the scheme will not compete with private industry, and that it would be a sure-fire way to slash the relief budget.

The hypocritical agricultural and business leaders try to throw smoke screen around this condition by shouting loudly of the "permanently unemployed" and "homeless migrants." But these interests deliberately encourage migration to the agricultural regions in order to ensure a large supply of lowly paid harvest labor.

To reduce wages to the barest subsistence level the overlords of the huge corporation farms attempt to force the state to bear most of the expense of keeping these thousands of workers barely alive. Thus their appeals for "federal aid" are nothing more than an attempt to shift this burden a little more upon the government and to open the way for further wage cuts.

Political Crisis

But such conditions have existed now for several years and they will continue to exist until drastic action by the workers change them. The fact is that the present crisis is a political rather than an economic or financial one. The Olson state government, coming into office after 44 years of G.O.P. domination, is finding itself completely surrounded by the Republican machines. The State Senate, most of the State Bureaus (Attorney General, Treasury, Secretary of State, etc.) and the State Personnel Board are completely dominated by the old machine and Olson is unable to carry through the simplest proposal without the consent of these ultra-reactionaries. In the meantime members of the loosely organized "Democratic Front" that elected Olson have begun to get restless (with the exception of the Stalinists and the labor bureaucrats, of course); and Democratic henchmen who have thus far failed to get jobs have started to criticize.

Unpromising as these developments appear on the surface they actually foreshadow the rebirth of California's militant unemployed movement. WPA and relief workers can maintain their present meager standards only by clamping an unremitting organized pressure upon the relief apparatus and the government. They can save themselves from a terrible future only by laying hands on the demagogic promises of the "Production for use" propaganda and pushing to realize them in action. The original successes of the Relief Workers' Protective Union in Los Angeles and the formation of the Union of Progressive Workers in San Francisco are the first healthy indications that this new movement will not fall short of its goals.

FLINT S. W. P.'ERS PICKET MEETING OF LABOR HATER

"Stay Away" Is C.P. Counsel to Anti-Fascists

(Special to the Socialist Appeal)

FLINT, Mich., March 5—Socialist Workers Party members of Flint braved a bitter blizzard today to picket the meeting of labor-hating Gerald L. K. Smith, self-appointed candidate for the stelar role of Fascist dictator of America, and the spokesman for the so-called "Committee of One Million."

The cowardly leaders of the Communist Party did not utter a word of protest to the brazen challenge of the would-be Hitler's meeting. Neither Lovestone nor the defunct Socialist Party offered the workers of Flint any leadership in the fight against the Fascist meeting.

Call Workers to Picket

Only the Socialist Workers Party, determined to reply to the provocative call for a "Monster Meeting" by the labor-hating Smith, called upon workers to picket, and carried banners which read, "Smash Fascism," "Build Workers Defense Guards," "Smith and General Motors, the Committee of Millions!"

The Stalinist-dominated C.I.O. issued a leaflet which correctly blasted Smith as a would-be Fascist, but which urged workers to "demonstrate against Smith by staying away."

Treachorous Policy

This leaflet demonstrated how treacherous the policy of burying your head in the sand at the first sight of danger can be. To auto workers with a background of militant action this plea for passive resistance was incomprehensible.

Some union leaders, sensing the unpopularity of their admittance to stay away from the meeting, told workers to attend in order to jeer and boo.

BUILD WORKERS DEFENSE GUARDS

These tactics proved utterly hopeless. Smith delivered a vicious anti-labor speech filled with pious references to God and country, and the disorganized efforts of scattered union men could not and did not succeed in stopping him.

Unless the workers learn how to fight such a movement by their own organized power and by conducting an aggressive, intelligent campaign to smash the Smith gang, Michigan will witness the devastation of its labor movement. This is no time to "demonstrate by staying away." Militant unionists must organize now to crush fascism by building Workers Defense Guards.

Comparing the fascists to scabs who cross union picket lines and take jobs away from striking workers, Comrade Morgan urged the students to unite with the working class and to join with the S.W.P. in building Workers Defense Guards before it is too late.

Describing the rise of fascism in Germany, Italy and Spain, Comrade Morgan pointed out that the workers must act quickly before the home-grown varieties of Hitler and Franco destroy the trade unions and the student movement here in America. Many Y.C.L.s attended the meeting and asked questions concerning the failure of their party to take action with the S.W.P. at the Madison Square Garden meeting of the Nazis on Feb. 20. Many of these Y.C.L.s were present on the picket line with the Trotskyists at this meeting and were anxious to hear about the S.W.P. program.

"La Voz" has avoided editorial comment on the latest events, but is giving prominence to the United Press dispatches reporting the reprisals against the Communists.

Face-Saving Gesture

Asked why "La Voz" was not commenting editorial

OFF THE RECORD

By Dwight Macdonald

Calling in an Expert

The Harvard Graduate School of Business Administration is not to be confused with the Harvard Graduate School of Public Administration. The former—better known as the Harvard Business School—was founded years ago and has expanded into a long series of handsome Georgian buildings along the bank of the Charles River. The latter is comparatively recent, and by no means as large and resplendent. The Business School is by now one of the key institutions of American capitalism. The Government School has yet to win its spurs. But business men are rapidly learning that politics, and not economics, is the master art in the world of today. They are more and more coming to face the problem of how best to manage the rather awkward transition from democratic capitalism to fascist capitalism. Last week the Harvard Government School stole a big march on its older rival when it hired the greatest living expert on this delicate process. It announced the appointment of a permanent Professor of Government: Dr. Heinrich Brüning, Chancellor of the German Republic from 1930 to 1932.

Footnote on the Late Dr. Coster

I present for what it is worth an odd item in last week's financial pages, an item whose precise social significance I confess I am unable to determine. It seems that the sales of McKesson & Robbins, Inc., for January, 1939, were \$12,070,000, as against \$12,132,000 for the same month of 1938. This seems to indicate that "public opinion" disapproved of the late J. Donald Coster-Musica by just \$6,000 worth out of a \$12,000,000 gross. It is also confusing to learn that, although one element of the scandal was that McKesson & Robbins had gotten into repeated trouble with Federal agencies because its drugs were impure or adulterated, yet its drug sales this January were actually a little bigger than they had been a year ago. Is the old saw actually true—that any publicity is good publicity?

The Customer is Never Right

Sheets are as scarce in the Third Reich as anything else except guns. The story is told of a housewife who managed to persuade a shopkeeper to sell her a single sheet. When she got home, she found a tag on it stating that boiling would have a disastrous effect. She took it back to the shop and asked to exchange it for one that could be laundered. "Certainly," said the shopkeeper, "but you must first sign this." And he gave her a paper bearing the words: I AM AN ENEMY OF THE FOUR-YEAR PLAN. The lady changed, not her sheet, but her mind.

Non-Sectarian Stalinism

Last week I received in the mail a moving appeal for funds from a Mrs. J. C. Guggenheim, who is treasurer of the Non-Sectarian Committee for Political Refugees. "Dear Friend," it began, "We are asking you to help save a life—a life that is in imminent danger at the hands of

MEN AND WOMEN OF LABOR

Out of the Past

BY EMANUEL GARRET

**THE COMMUNARDS
(March 18, 1871 — May 28, 1871)**

They "stormed heaven." They charged the gates of boss-class security. They proved the strength and the solidarity of the oppressed. They lived for ten weeks as conquering, self-disciplined proletarians, organizers of their own society. And they died, thousands upon thousands of never-to-be-forgotten martyrs in freedom's cause.

Who were they? Bakers, cobblers, teachers—radical intellectuals, and proletarians of all trades. A few of them are known by name: the clerk Jourde who carefully guarded the finances of the Commune; the German Marxist Leo Franchel who, as head of the Commission of Labor and Exchange was responsible for the propagation of socialist ideas; Delescluze, who was Civil Delegate for War; Louis Michel who organized and led the revolutionary women. These and some others are known. But the great, great majority are known only as anonymous fighters for liberty who struck the first staggering blow at capitalism, who sought to build a society of equality and freedom, and whose blood spattered the barricaded streets of Paris.

Raise the Red Flag of the Commune

For months they had withstood the Prussian siege of Paris. (The Franco-Prussian War of 1870 was drawing to a close.) Lacking faith in the capitalist ministers who were preparing capitulation to Bismarck, they had placed the defense of Paris in their own citizens' army, the National Guard.

Fight was short; want was great. They had begun to demand that government power be transferred to them; revolutionary committees sprang up in all sections.

All they hated was epitomized in the head of the capitalist republic that had been proclaimed some few months before: Thiers, "that monstrous gnome . . . the most consummate intellectual expression of their (the bourgeoisie's) class corruption" (in the words of Karl Marx).

And when Thiers, who had not dared enter Paris for weeks, organized a raid in the early morning hours of March 18 to seize the arms held by the National Guard, all Paris rushed to the defense. Barricades were thrown up quickly. Soldiers sent to attack the barricaded workers, fraternized instead. The red flag of the Commune was that day raised.

Begin the Work of Socialism

The Communards were improperly organized. They had no group of leaders with a clear program of what to do, no party. They committed mistake after mistake: they allowed the Bank of France to continue unmolested; they did not expropriate the property of the financiers and industrialists; they failed above all to make a clean sweep of the state machinery.

Hitler's executioner. The extradition of 1500 men and women, German and Austrian refugees, who before Munich had found asylum in Czechoslovakia, is being demanded daily by Gestapo agents in Prague. The enclosed folder will explain their plight, proven by the fate of Peter Feuerstein, whose head fell in Weimar on December 21, 1938. The folder detailed some heart-rending facts about these anti-fascists, caught in the steadily contracting net of a Czechoslovakia going fascist. Feuerstein's case was especially tragic: he had escaped to Prague from a German concentration camp. After Munich, the Nazis demanded his extradition, and executed him the day after he was put back across the border. Not one of the democracies would give him a visa. Nor would the Soviet Union, which has, as every one knows, refused to allow a single refugee to cross her heavily policed borders. Even members of the Czech Communist Party, according to all reports, have been refused Soviet visas. Russia's attitude on these 1500 anti-fascist refugees trapped in Czechoslovakia, in fact, is so scandalous that even the New Republic ventured a mild editorial reproof in its February 1 issue: "Russia has done nothing and promised nothing (though Feuerstein's life could have been saved by a Russian or any other visa)."

All this is familiar enough. What gives Mrs. Guggenheim's letter a certain news value is that her "Non-Sectarian Committee for Political Refugees" has for its chairman the Hon. Vito Marcantonio, and bears as its subtitle, in smaller letters: "International Labor Defense." Thus the Stalinists appeal for funds to save refugees whom the Kremlin is delivering over to Hitler's executioners. I have written a naive and worried note to Mrs. Guggenheim asking for an explanation of this paradox, but so far she has maintained a discreet silence on the subject.

The Penalties of Wealth

These are uneasy, dangerous times, when anything may happen. The unemployed worry about how they will feed themselves if W.P.A. is cut again. The employed worry about the rising tide of anti-unionism and the dubious political future of the Wagner Act. All of us hold our breath as the explosion of a second world war is averted from week to week. Even the debutante, it seems, have their worries. "This season, there are a dozen uncertainties!" exclaims a current ad for VOGUE magazine, and proceeds to list them: "Will you wear a starched, flirtatious petticoat? Or will you turn gypsy in plaids and stripes and jingling jewelry? Will you do your hair in a chignon and tie an 1880 bonnet under your chin? Or will you crop your curly hair?" I am not up to some of the technical terms—"chignon" suggests nothing at all to me, and "crisp white sailor" suggests perhaps too much. But I gather that even VOGUE's clientele finds modern life terrifying and confusing. We should never forget that the rich have their troubles, just like all the rest of us.

THE ONLY WAY TO FIGHT AGAINST FASCISM IS TO ORGANIZE WORKERS' DEFENSE GUARDS

The Daily Worker's Cries About "Provocation" and "Trotskyite-Fascists" Will Not Go Over With Those Members of the C. P. Who Joined in the Demonstration and Realized How Fascism Must Be Fought

ARTICLE TWO**By FELIX MORROW**

In our first article dealing with the Communist party's explanation, in the Daily Worker, March 3, of why it boycotted the anti-Nazi demonstration of Feb. 20, we dealt with two important points: 1. That the Popular or Democratic Front policy of the Stalinists always gives the "progressive" capitalist-democrat the final say in what the workers do or do not do. 2. That the "progressive" capitalists have always, everywhere, and continue now in America, to oppose the use of militant methods of fighting fascism. A number of other points are equally important:

EVIDENCE PUNCTURES ALIBI FOR COPS

3. The workers who demonstrated on Feb. 20 had it proved on their heads and backs that the "progressive" capitalists are opposed to anti-fascist demonstrations. LaGuardia's police, not losing their heads but coolly and deliberately attacked the demonstration. Why? The Stalinists, ready to defend their "Democratic front" alliance with LaGuardia and his cops, foully accuse the workers of "provocations." The workers, we are told to believe, insisted on running their heads into the cops' clubs, and on rolling themselves under the horses' hooves. The Trotskyites, presumably, hypnotized fifty thousand workers to a point where they enjoyed being beaten and kicked.

The Stalinist alibi for the cops, however, is punctured by authoritative testimony from many quarters that the cops assaulted the picket lines. Even the Jewish Morning Journal and the Jewish Daily Forward were constrained to report the police's unprovoked assaults on the demonstrators.

Even the New Republic felt impelled to publish James T. Farrell's letter to LaGuardia, testifying as an eyewitness to the police brutality. The Nation, consistently hostile to the Trotskyite movement, nevertheless declared editorially:

"But the sinister aspect of the Bund affair is that the city police not only protected the Nazis in their right of free speech; they interfered with the rights of the demonstrators outside and inside to voice their opposition to the Nazi doctrine."

"We are all too familiar with the actions of the police bent on law and order"; the alarming thing in this case is that the city administration, for all its anti-fascist convictions, gave sanction to the police in what has become their occupational antagonism to all picket lines.

Newbold Morris, acting mayor, issued a statement asking the public to show their support of democratic institutions by shunning the assemblage as 'one would a pestilence.' A pestilence is not overcome by shunning it; the only effective popular answer to such meetings as that of the Bund is counter-demonstration. The two left groups, the Socialist Workers Party and the Young People's Socialist League, who insisted on exercising their right to picket, discovered that they and not the Nazis were the 'enemy.' They were dispersed by force—and the police became the heroes of the newspapers, of Mayor LaGuardia, and unquestionably of the German-American bund." (The Nation, Mar. 4, 1939)

As a matter of fact, Jerome unconsciously reveals that he takes for granted such behavior of the police, when he says that a Communist party demonstration—

which would presumably not be incited by provocateurs—"would have avoided a direct collision not only with the Bund, but with the city administration and the police who were present to enforce the decisions of the city administration."

THE POLICE ALWAYS AGAINST THE WORKERS

Jerome and the Communist party leadership know that everywhere—in Italy, Germany, Spain, France—the police, no matter who is at the head of the capitalist government, always concentrate their fight on the workers instead of the fascists. The police's "occupational antagonism to all picket lines", and the fundamental loyalty of "progressives" to capitalism lead them inevitably to smash the fascist gangs. There is no other way. The fascist gangs do not believe in abiding by the results of the ballot-box, or by any other peaceful procedure. On the contrary, the fascists plan to come to power by physical violence against the labor movement and anti-fascists generally. To appeal to "democracy" against the fascists is like trying to stop bullets with the biblical edict that "Thou Shalt Not Kill."

But the road of struggle is barred to the members and sympathizers of the Communist party because the leaders, tied hand and foot to LaGuardia and Roosevelt, they prefer unity with these fascists generally. To appeal to "democracy" against the fascists is like trying to stop bullets with the biblical edict that "Thou Shalt Not Kill."

DARE NOT BARE THEIR FULL LINE

By this Stalinist logic, therefore, the way to end the fascist movement is for the fascists to hold more meetings at which the Communist party would be stripped of every real anti-fascist if the meaning of this "Democratic front" became fully clear. Hence the Stalinists seek to confuse the issue by impugning the

Jewish bourgeois press. **STALINIST ROAD LEADS TO CONCENTRATION CAMPS**

But this is a lie! The whole development of fascism in Europe warns us that the road pointed out by the Stalinists ends up in the concentration camps.

The only way to fight the fascists is by mass struggle, by bringing the workers out into the streets and the neighborhoods where the fascists rally, by thus organizing the power of the working class in direct conflict with the fascists. Once this is understood, the best of the workers must be organized into Workers Defense Guards, prepared and trained to smash the fascist gangs. There is no other way. The fascists do not believe in abiding by the results of the ballot-box, or by any other peaceful procedure.

On the contrary, the fascists plan to come to power by physical violence against the labor movement and anti-fascists generally. To appeal to "democracy" against the fascists is like trying to stop bullets with the biblical edict that "Thou Shall Not Kill."

But the road of struggle is barred to the members and sympathizers of the Communist party because the leaders, tied hand and foot to LaGuardia and Roosevelt, they prefer unity with these fascists generally. To appeal to "democracy" against the fascists is like trying to stop bullets with the biblical edict that "Thou Shall Not Kill."

We do not ask the Communist party members to take our word for it. Let them open a discussion of the Feb. 20 demonstration in their party units, let them fight for a militant policy in the struggle against fascism, let them demand an end to the policy of servile obedience to the LaGuardias—and they will learn in the course of the discussion that they belong with us in the Socialist Workers Party.

Madrid Workers Fight Repression

(Continued from Page 1)

Previously the Junta had claimed suppression of uprisings in Alcalá, Valencia, Cuenca, Guadalajara, Alcalá Henares, Jaén and Murcia.

One can only conclude from the extent and the scope of the "uprising" that it has deep roots in the situation, far deeper than those available to be tapped by the Communist party leadership after, contrary to all its expectations, it was suddenly expelled from the Popular Front on Sunday night.

Bolt out of Blue

That expulsion was a bolt out of the blue to the Communist party. It was riding higher than ever. Negrín had just abolished the existing army and navy commands and was turning them over to generals adhering to the Communist party. Never was a party caught off guard more than that night when the Stalinist leadership learned that the non-Stalinist generals with the support of every other party and group of the Popular Front, had decreed the expulsion of the Communist party.

Nevertheless, they were expelled from the Popular Front. They were expelled, not because they would not come to terms, but because the Popular Front would not keep them on any terms at all. On the contrary, the primary function of the assumption of power by the Junta, backed by the Popular Front, was for the purpose of expelling and outlawing the Communist party.

"Greater Good"

Why? In language discreet

enough to get past the censorship,

the N.Y. Times reporter at Valencia, George Axelsson, provides the clue:

"People outside Spain may say that the Communists were thrown to the lions or offered up as a sacrifice to the gods, and even assuming this is true—which is by no means sure—editors hereabout

are unanimous in asserting that the sacrifice has been for the greater good of the greater part of the Spanish people."

The second clue is provided by the British Tory press, which warmly praises the establishing of the Junta and Mijá's "statesmanship," and predicts that now the forthcoming peace negotiations will be an "officers' club affair."

Putting these two clues together

the answer is obvious: the Stalinists, despite all their servility to the "democratic" capitalists, their strangling of the Spanish revolution, their yoking of the French workers to Daladier's war machine, etc., are still considered "Reds"—i.e., the agents of Moscow.

The Popular Front therefore served these Reds up as a

sacrificial offering to Franco, hoping thereby to mollify him sufficiently to secure amnesty for the non-Communist Popular Front leaders.

Peace Was Sought

Apparently the Communist party leadership had no alternative except to flee the country the next day, when it became clear that the whole Popular Front leadership, itself excepted, was backing the Junta. The final blow was Mijá's agreeing to head the Junta, for so far as it lay within its power.

That was the beginning of the end.

The Communards were poorly organized.

They had no group of leaders with a clear program of what to do, no party.

They committed mistake after mistake:

they allowed the Bank of France to continue unmolested;

they did not expropriate the property of the financiers and industrialists;

they failed above all to make a

clear understanding where Negrín is going.

Mijá's Main Purpose

If further proof of this were needed, the Stalinist press treatment of Azana's maneuvers in Paris gives the show away. The Stalinists covered Azana up until the day of his formal resignation.

Hence the capitulatory policy of the Popular Front Junta, identical in essence with that of Negrín, could scarcely have repelled the Stalinist leaders.

Nevertheless, they were expelled from the Popular Front. They were expelled, not because they would not come to terms, but because the Popular Front would not keep them on any terms at all. On the contrary, the primary function of the assumption of power by the Junta, backed by the Popular Front, was for the purpose of expelling and outlawing the Communist party.

Never had the Communards been so betrayed.

Never had the Communards been so betrayed

SOCIALIST APPEAL

VOL III—No. 15 Tuesday, March 14, 1939

Published twice a week by the
SOCIALIST APPEAL PUBLISHING ASS'N
at 116 University Place, New York, N. Y.
Telephone: ALgonquin 4-8547

Subscriptions: \$2.00 per year; \$1.00 for six months.
Foreign: \$3.00 per year, \$1.50 for six months. Bundle
orders: 2 cents per copy in United States; 3 cents per
copy in all foreign countries. Single copies: 3 cents.

Bronx and Manhattan subscriptions are: \$1.50 for
six months; \$3.00 for one year.

"Reentered as second class matter February 16,
1939, at the post office at New York, N. Y., under
the Act of March 3, 1879."

Editor:
MAX SHACHTMAN
Associate Editors:
HAROLD ROBERTS FELIX MORROW
Staff Members:
EMANUEL GARRETT JOSEPH HANSEN
Business Manager:
S. STANLEY

FIGHT WITH THE SOCIALIST WORKERS PARTY FOR:

1. A job and a decent wage for every worker.
2. Open the idle factories—operate them under workers' control.
3. A Twenty-Billion dollar Federal public works and housing program.
4. Thirty-thirty! \$30-weekly minimum wage—30-hour weekly maximum for all workers on all jobs.
5. Thirty dollar weekly old-age and disability pension.
6. Expropriate the Sixty Families.
7. All war funds to the unemployed.
8. A people's referendum on any and all wars.
9. No secret diplomacy.
10. An independent Labor party.
11. Workers Defense Guards against Vigilante and Fascist attacks.

For Labor Unity

President Roosevelt wants labor unity because he believes that, with the help of the labor bureaucrats, he will be better able to line up the labor movement solidly for his war if there is a single national trade union federation.

Lewis and Green are governed in their considerations by purely bureaucratic struggles for posts, privileges and power.

The workers of the country need a unified labor movement in order to achieve a firm, united front of struggle against the bosses. The fundamental interests of the workers have nothing in common with the interests of either Roosevelt or Messrs. Lewis and Green.

Lewis' proposal for a joint convention of the A. F. of L., the C.I.O. and the Railroad Brotherhoods to establish a united American Congress of Labor was, doubtless, from his point of view a slick publicity stunt to give him an advantage in factional maneuvers.

But Lewis' motives are unimportant.

The dramatic idea is absolutely sound. If Lewis himself doesn't take it seriously, then it is up to the rank and file of all three organizations to hold him to his words and to demand action from the three officialdoms.

While demanding action, the rank and file will also do well to make a few amendments to Lewis' "six point plan." Somehow Lewis forgot to include any proposal for democratic representation at the convention or a democratic structure for the new Congress of Labor, or the need for the unified organization to adopt a militant policy of struggle for labor's rights and interests. A unified federation will serve labor only if founded on internal democracy and dedicated to militant struggle.

A second set of amendments should cut off from the start the Lewis provisions which would tie the unity convention and the new federation to the administration machine.

The proposal has been made. It is now public property.

Let the workers themselves take it out of the hands of the President and the bureaucrats, and go forward under their own steam to a unified American Congress of Labor.

Let Them Eat Cake'

Government officials have cooked up a new experiment in regimenting that guinea-pig, the hapless worker on relief, according to press dispatches last week from Washington.

On April Fool's Day, after the first big slash in relief rolls has been instituted, the remaining guinea-pigs will have their allowances divided into two portions if the plan is put into effect. One half will be paid in cash. The other half will be paid in orange-colored scrip which can be exchanged only at grocery stores and only for food products.

An extra allowance of blue-colored scrip will be issued at the same time. This scrip will be exchangeable only for products declared "surplus" by the Federal Surplus Commodities Corporation. The "surplus" product during any particular week might be anything from sausage casings to baled straw.

Neither the blue-colored nor the orange-colored scrip can be used for clothing, for rent, fuel, shoes, transportation, for books, magazines, or newspapers, or for the grave emergency situations that occur at times in every family—sudden illness or death.

"Many relief families," said the officials, "now spend too little for food. Under the new program they would be forced to increase their food expenditures to the point required for minimum health standards."

If this theory holds true, the next step will be to cut the cash allowance to one-fourth. This would

force relief families to banquet three times a day—instead of arbitrarily starving themselves as they now do.

Cutting the cash allowance to one-eighth would place these families on yachts and allow them to winter at Bermuda—just like the rich. Let us divide five vacuums and two zeros and fatten the multitude!

Ballyhooed as a method of elevating the living standards of those on relief, the plan is actually an insidious device to lower still further the present starvation levels.

"You complain that you are starving on your relief check?" says the plan. "Then it is only because you are too mentally incompetent to buy food. The government will arbitrarily force food down your gullet."

In brief, too much relief is being given the unemployed. If they are under-nourished it is their own fault. They are wasting it in riotous living. Give them a cut to sober them up.

According to the plan, the scrip must be exchanged at ordinary grocery stores at "current prices." That means placing the unemployed at the mercy of the corner grocer. Colored scrip exchangeable only for designated products means automatic jacking up of prices. It means discrimination at every food market in the country. "Colored scrip? You'll take what's good for you at the price asked. . . . It's government orders isn't it?"

Only militant mass demonstrations can fittingly answer such an insidious attack and such colossal contempt for the unemployed!

Many tribes of savages do not know that the sun is the source of daylight, reads an item in the Minneapolis Star. And many civilized savages do not know that labor is the source of all wealth.

We Mean Action Too

"Life is too short to make speeches unless you are going to do something about it." Harry Hopkins, Secretary of Commerce, is reported to have made this statement at a press conference in which he amplified what he meant concretely when he made his notorious Des Moines speech on March 3rd dedicating the Roosevelt administration to going down the line for big business.

The "something" which Hopkins pledges the administration to doing in order to increase profits for the economic royalists consists of outlawing strikes, slashing relief appropriations, revising taxes for the benefit of the rich, engaging in secret alliances, and plunging the country into war.

It is high time that the American people stopped listening to speech-making by vultures like Hopkins and began answering in the language of the working class. And we don't mean just speeches. We mean the only language the bosses can understand, the language of action.

Hopkins assures the capitalist class that he means action when he says he is out to raise their profits at the expense of the American public. Let the working class, employed and unemployed, answer him with action. "Life is too short to make speeches unless you are going to do something about it."

Chief Justice Hughes in a speech to Congress noted especially the necessity for protecting the rights of minorities in order that democratic government might not destroy itself "by the excesses of power." He added that "we are all partners; one member of our body politic cannot say to another—I have no need of thee." Workers could say this to the bosses. As yet they haven't got around to doing it, but they will! Incidentally, Mr. Justice, how about the rights of the majority, the workers, who have only wrongs?

300,000 Men

Only a short twelve months ago, Leslie Hore-Belisha, War Secretary of the British Government, appeared in the British Parliament with his estimate for funds needed in his war plans, and, in order to reassure the general public of England, told them that Britain's slaughter of her citizens in 1914 need not be repeated in the next war.

History sometimes repeats itself, but rarely in the same context," he told the assembled members of Parliament. "The assumptions of an unforgettable past are not always the surest guide to an unpredictable future."

That was a year ago. Last Wednesday Leslie Hore-Belisha appeared again in Parliament. This time he told the assembled members that the British Government is planning to send 300,000 men to France as soon as the approaching slaughter bursts over the world.

300,000 men! That is four times the size of the expeditionary army which Britain sent to die in France in 1914.

The crosses in Flanders field will quadruple their rows in the new war. That is what capitalist democracy means! The "unforgettable past" will avenge itself with four times its fury on those who did forget.

Why did Hore-Belisha assure the people of Great Britain last year that his war plans did not contemplate sending them to die in France? Any capitalist diplomat can provide the answer to that question. Public opinion was not yet drugged enough. The war machine was not yet ready to be launched at the throats of the opposing capitalist camp.

Now a year later the time draws swift at hand when the ordinary people, the workers, the farmers, must lift rifles for their masters. England is almost ready. She has divided the country into twelve districts each with its totalitarian dictator. She is putting the finishing touches on the greatest assembly of death dealing machines in the history of the British Empire.

Now the people must be informed that they are to die.

"History sometimes repeats itself, but rarely in the same context." Yes, Mr. Hore-Belisha, history does repeat itself . . . on more violent and bloody levels. And the blood bath you are preparing will end in drowning you and all your kind in the coming workers revolution in Great Britain!

Why I Decided to Quit the Communist Party

Carl Hichin, Former Prominent Member of the Canadian Communist Party, Tells the Full Story of Doubts Which Brought Him to 4th International

(Special to the Socialist Appeal)

TORONTO, Ont.—Publicly repudiating the Communist Party for its social patriotism, Carl Hichin, former prominent member of the Canadian section of the Third International has joined the Fourth International as announced in the last issue of the Socialist Appeal.

His complete statement calling upon all militant workers to join in building the true party of revolutionary Marxism—the 4th International—is as follows:

"May this serve as the public announcement to the labor movement of my complete organization and political severance from the Communist Party of Canada (Third International) and its now irrevocable reformism, and may it also serve as a declaration of my accord, politically and organizationally, with the Socialist Workers' League of Canada (Fourth International)—the one Canadian party and International adhering to revolutionary Marxism.

20 YEARS IN LABOR MOVEMENT

"As one who had been publicly associated with the Communist party of Canada and its organ for several years, and one who had been active in the labor movement for more than 20 years, I gave long and serious consideration to the question of transferring my party allegiance, before actually taking the step. As early as 1935, I told the Communist party District Bureau through Joe McN, that the party was "prostituting the name of Communism" in relation to its fight against fascism."

"Even after being removed from the Clarion by a decision of the Political Bureau in May 1938, I hesitated for more than six months before making a public announcement of my break with the party. During those six months, let it be said, to keep the record straight, I declined several invitations to renew my party activity, resigned from the party in July and as late as January 1, 1939, declined an invitation to rejoin the party, extended through two of the party's prominent members, C.M. and A.C.

BEGAN SERIOUS INVESTIGATION

"Particularly during those six months did I give myself over to a serious investigation and study which had previously been restricted by pressure of party work. I considered it necessary, before making any public announcement, that I satisfy myself, beyond any possible doubt, that the Communist International had a abandoned revolutionary Marxism, as I had frequently suggested, and that thorough bureaucratization of the Third International had made it impossible to accomplish rectification by inner party work. Then, of course, arose the necessity of satisfying myself that the party of my new allegiance was a revolutionary party. The statement given above,

EXPLAINS C.P. DEVIATIONS

"That, in fact, is the miserable role of the Third International to-day. Browder has put it quite bluntly—open support for Roosevelt's billions for armaments' and promise of Communist party support for the United States government should it become involved in a war with Japan—and presumably Germany.

"This is the 'fight against fascism' now substituted by the Communist International for its old stand that the 'only alternative to fascism is the proletarian dictatorship.' It follows of course that

JOIN THE FOURTH INTERNATIONAL

"I think I have made it clear that the Communist party of Canada has in fact embraced reformism and that any duplicity that exists is directed towards maintaining control and leadership of those honest workers and party members whose greatest desire is to help in achieving the revolutionary emancipation of the world proletariat. To the latter, I have already addressed my appeal, that they too, publicly repudiate the impression left in the article that the Communist party of Canada still secretly pursues revolutionary policies.

—Carl Hichin."

SP's Rev. Sec'y Burt Joins Anti-Labor Pastorate to Bring "Heaven on Earth"

MINNEAPOLIS—Rev. Roy E. Burt, elected National Secretary of the Socialist Party at its last convention and still, therefore, officially the chief executive officer of Norman Thomas' party, has become assistant pastor to Dr. George Mecklenburg, pastor of the prosperous Wesley Temple Methodist church of this city.

News of his appointment was published by the local press on March 4. The biographical information provided by Rev. Burt to the press, as published by the Star, was:

"He has held pastorate in Illinois and Wyoming, served as young people's secretary of the board of education in the Methodist church and worked for the board of home missions. He will have charge of the youth program at the church and will assist Dr. George Mecklenburg, pastor, in pastoral work."

In his first appearance before the church congregation, which was broadcast over the radio, the Rev. Burt ended his prayer with: "Bring thy Kingdom on earth in our time."

Pastor Anti-Labor

Burt's superior, Rev. Mecklenburg, is a notorious enemy of the trade union movement. In June he devoted his Sunday afternoon radio broadcast to a denunciation of Minneapolis unions as racketeering organizations. On June 23, he opposed Vincent R. Dunne, organizer for General Drivers Union Local 544, in a debate: "Min-

FLASH!

Dr. Francis Townsend, originator, too, of a unique pension plan, denounces Downey's \$30-every-Thursday plan as wholly impractical and absurd.

Write to the American Fund for Political Prisoners and Refugees, Room 1609, 100 Fifth Avenue, for blank petitions to circulate among your fellow workers.

announces my firm convictions.

C.P. STRENGTHENS FASCISM

"My decision to make a public break with the Communist party of Canada was made early in December last. However, I found it impossible to get any publication to make public my decision in the terms I desired and not wishing to join in the red-baiting then prevalent, I withheld all public announcement until after the Toronto municipal elections. Even then, as we shall see, I failed to find a satisfactory medium.

"Here, space requires that I touch upon only one central point, illustrative of the Communist International's abandonment of revolutionary Marxism, its embrace of reformism and the consequent victories of Franco and the strengthening of fascist and war forces in almost every country, and particularly in France. But Buck blithely goes along creating a Canadian 'union sacre' and blessing others. As late as February 2, in the Daily Clarion, he quoted a Roosevelt dictum that the 'first duty of our statesmanship today is to bring capital and man power together,' and hopefully added: 'Can this be done in Canada? I say: Yes.'

APPEALS TO C.P. MEMBERS

"It would be difficult to imagine a more brazenly outspoken desecration of the revolutionary class struggle. Surely those thousands who joined the Communist party of Canada in the firm belief that they were joining a revolutionary party must now see into what a treacherous blind alley they have been led, and surely they will hasten to join in repudiation of such rank reformism and social patriotism and turn to building the only present party of revolutionary Marxism, the Fourth International.

"I mentioned above the difficulty I experienced in gaining suitable publicity for the announcement of my break with the Communist party of Canada. Finally, after the Toronto elections, I felt constrained to resort to Maclean's and the Winnipeg Free Press, and to a treatment that was far from satisfactory. For that sharp criticism is due. Liberties taken by the editor with my article made matters even worse. I therefore specifically repudiate the impression left in the article that the Communist party of Canada still secretly pursues revolutionary policies.

JOIN THE FOURTH INTERNATIONAL

"I think I have made it clear that the Communist party of Canada has in fact embraced reformism and that any duplicity that exists is directed towards maintaining control and leadership of those honest workers and party members whose greatest desire is to help in achieving the revolutionary emancipation of the world proletariat. To the latter, I have already addressed my appeal, that they too, publicly repudiate the impression left in the article that the Communist International and join in building the true party of revolutionary Marxism—The Socialist Workers' League of Canada (Fourth International).

—Carl Hichin."

to follow this new line in time of war, it must be started in time of peace.

Indeed, this calling upon the workers to support their own bourgeoisie has begun. We find it in the 'unions sacres' and coalition cabinets known as the 'Popular Front governments,' in the fawning at the feet of Roosevelt and Kind, in the complete embracing of reformism and the abandonment of revolutionary class struggle.

HITLER FEARS WORKERS REVOLT

"Surely Mr. Buck knows that what Hitler fears most is not the verbose declarations of 'democratic' bourgeoisie but the sounding of the tocsin on the barricades of France, and then perhaps Germany. But Buck blithely goes along creating a Canadian 'union sacre' and blessing others. As late as February 2, in the Daily Clarion, he quoted a Roosevelt dictum that the 'first duty of our statesmanship today is to bring capital and man power together,' and hopefully added: 'Can this be done in Canada? I say: Yes.'

APPEALS TO C.P. MEMBERS

"It would be difficult to imagine a more brazenly outspoken desecration of the revolutionary class struggle. Surely those thousands who joined the Communist party of Canada in the firm belief that they were joining a revolutionary party must now see into what a treacherous blind alley they have been led, and surely they will hasten to join in repudiation of such rank reformism and social patriotism and turn to building the only present party of revolutionary Marxism, the Fourth International.

"I mentioned above the difficulty

I experienced in gaining suitable publicity for the announcement of my break with the Communist party of Canada. Finally, after the Toronto elections, I felt constrained to resort to Maclean's and the Winnipeg Free Press, and to a treatment that was far from satisfactory. For that sharp criticism is due. Liberties taken by the editor with my article made matters even worse. I therefore specifically repudiate the impression left in the article that the Communist party of Canada still secretly pursues revolutionary policies.

JOIN THE FOURTH INTERNATIONAL

"I think I have made it clear that the Communist party of Canada has in fact embraced reformism and that