Published Weekly as the Organ of the Socialist Party of New York, Left Wing Branches.

Vol. 1. - No. 8. 401

Saturday, October 2, 1937

5 Cents per Copy

Ving Issues nvention Ca

Mayoralty Campaign

Left Wing To Act On Campaign This Week

On the same day that the Communist Party, in keeping with its People's Front policy, announced that it would throw all its support to the Republican-Fusion-A.L.P. combination and its Mayoralty candidate, La Guardia, the right wing of the Socialist Party, at a meeting in Town Hall, finally made public the decision to withdraw its regnominated candidate, Norman Thomas, as foretold in these columns last week.

"First Time in History"

By their actions, as the New York Times properly concludes, "for the first time in their history, both the Communist and Socialist parties will refrain from presenting candidates for Mayor in the municipal election".

The meeting in Town Hall at which the Thomas announcement was made public, marked the lowest point reached by the right wing Socialists in years in this city. Called as a public "ratification rally", there were present less than 400 people, that is, a number considerably smaller than the membership of the Socialist Party itself, to say nothing of its normal circle of sympathizers outside its ranks.

Left Wing to Act

The Campaign Committee of the Left wing Branches of the Socialist Party is immediately convening a meeting for the purpose of deciding upon the course to be taken in view of the Thomas withdrawal. It is expected that the Committee will make an imporant announcement this weekend which will guarantee a camaign in New York for an inde-endent working class candidate, challenging the Democratic Tweedledum and Republican Tweedledee candidates for Mayor. despite the treacherous actions wing socialists.

Thomas Quits N.Y. and Chicago Join Four State Committees In National Appeal

To All The Locals and Branches of the Socialist Party:

COMRADES!

The Socialist Party is passing through a devastating crisis. This crisis can be resolved only by the united, speedy action of the rank and file of the organization throughout the country. No effective solution of the problems facing the movement can be reached without the immediate convocation of a national emergency convention of the Party.

The National Executive Committee of the Party is no longer entitled to the trust and allegiance of the Party membership. It is guilty of a gross usurpation and violation of power.

The N. E. C. Has Betrayed Socialism

At its last meeting in New York, the National Executive Committee betrayed the principles of socialism. It directly violated the specific decisions of the 1937 convention of the Party in Chicago, adopted by the overwhelming majority of the delegates and endorsed by the great bulk of the membership. It decided to endorse the policy of the Right wing of withdrawing the Socialist candidate for Mayor in New York, in favor of La Guardia, the Republican-Fusionist-A.L.P.-Stalinist candidate. In face of the official Party position, solemnly approved in vention of the Socialist Party, to be held on the days of convention, the Party has been committed to cowardly and the Thanksgiving week-end, November 25, 26, 27 and 28, face of the official Party position, solemnly approved in hypocritical support of a People's Front and of its mayor- 1937, inclusive, in the city of Chicago. alty candidate.

lutionary members of the Party who oppose and obstruct New York. the execution of this sell-out policy. On the pretext of In order that the delegates may best represent the maintaining "discipline", which every right winger in will of the membership, we announce the immediate openthe Party may and does violate with impunity, a nation-ing of the pre-convention discussion, based on the resoluwide expulsion drive is being conducted under the direction of the National Executive Committee with the aim of ridding the Party of all critics and opponents of La Guardia-Socialism.

The N. E. C. Is Splitting the Party

The true socialists, who refuse to bow to the autocratic rule of the N.E.C. usurpers, are being kicked out of the Party without even the pretense of a trial or hearing. The charters of state organizations are arbitrarily suspended. Other State organizations are being brutally re-organized with only right wing supporters permitted to affiliate. In every branch and local, a heresy-hunt has been instituted against the revolutionary socialists. In every branch and local of the party, a "Loyalty Oath" has been established, with the aim of weeding out all those refusing to swear fealty to the La Guardia-Socialists who are splitting the Party.

Determined to rule or ruin, and conscious of the fact that the majority of the active party membership supports the Left wing, the National Executive Committee has trampled upon the Party constitution and all the principles of Party democracy by ignoring the demands of numberless Party locals for referendums and a special of the Stalinists and the right convention to register the will of the Party membership on these and other burning questions.

The La Guardia-N. E. C. Stands Condemned

By these flagrant violations of the Party constitution and these betrayals of the Party policy and trust, the La Guardia National Executive Committee has forfeited its authority and lost all right to speak in the name of the party membership. By its record, both in the political field and in the field of organization—where it has reduced the national membership to a figure of less than 3,000 the National Executive Committee stands convicted of betrayal of socialist principle, usurpation of power, splitting of the movement.

There is no course left to the membership, to those socialists who hold dear the principles of revolutionary socialism, of Marxian internationalism, to those socialists who are revolted by cynical violations of Party democracy -no course but to call an emergency Party convention for the purpose of throwing out the traitors, determining the will of the Party membership on all the important Party problems; and re-establishing the Party on the foundation of revolutionary socialism.

On to the Chicago Convention!

The undersigned therefore call upon all Party locals, Party branches, State Executive Committees, and left wing groups in all sections of the Party, to send a regular quota of delegates to the Rank and File Emergency Con-

The basis of representation for all locals and groups At the same meeting, the National Executive Com- will be made available immediately by the Convention mittee ordered the bureaucratic expulsion of all the revo- Arrangements Committee which is now functioning in

tions to be submitted for consideration and any documents or view presented by the supporters of the convention. This discussion is to begin immediately inside the ranks of the organization, in membership meetings and inner discussion bulletins, and publicly in the columns of the Socialist Appeal.

State Executive Committee of the Socialist Party of California State Executive Committee of the Socialist Party of Ohio State Executive Committee of the Socialist Party of Minnesota State Executive Committee of the Socialist Party of Indiana

City Executive Committee of the Socialist Party, of Local New York, Left Wing Branches

Executive Committee of the Socialist Party of Cook County (Chi-

National Executive Committee of the Young People's Socialist League.

G.P.U. Murders Rebelling Agent

Thugs Take Himfor Ride

Bullet Riddled Body Found By Police in Switzerland

Chamblandes, in the Swiss Cansanne, the bullet-riddled body of assassinated man made it possible promptly to establish his true identity and the very peculiar nature of the crime.

The victim was a Polish Communist militant, Ignace Reiss, who belonged to the Secret Service of the Communist International. At the beginning of this year, he had given the Dutch Communist Opposition, through the former deputy to the Hague Parliament, his personal friend of long standing, Henrik Sneevliet, an exact warning on the decision adopted in Moscow to employ all methods against the anti-Stalinist communists broad. At the end of July 1937 he had published in the Nieuwe Fakkel of Amsterdam, Organ of the Revolutionary Socialist Party an open letter to the leaders of the Comintern and the Russian Government. The letter was a categorical break. Ignace Reiss

cialist militant twenty years ago. ...I have behind me sixteen years of illegal work.... Now the question is to save socialism....I have remained silent before too many assassinations, thus burdening my conscience with a great errorI should have broken with you on the day when the Father of the Peoples had the Sixteen shot in the cellars of the Lubianka" (street on which the Moscow G. P. U. is located).

Reiss disclosed that several officials of the G. P. U., who the Izvestia announced, had just been given the decoration of the Order of the Red Flag, were compensated for having participated in the execution of the former leaders of the revolution. Himself decorated in 1928 with the Order of the Red Flag for services rendered the revolution, he sent back his medal, "finding it unworthy to bear the same decoration as the executioners of the best men in the working class of Russia."

the G.P.U. had received the order to kill him. He informed his friends and took refuge in Switzerland under the name of Eber-

G.P.U. Sets Murder Trap

The crime was minutely prepared. The Swiss police has established that five persons, at least, who immediately quit the country and several of whom must have taken refuge in France, participated in the crime. A sixth, an accomplice, has been arrested. The principal author of the crime is known. She was a secret agent both of the Executive Committee of the Comintern and of the G.P.U., Gertrud Schildbach (born Neugebauer), 43 years old. A long resident in Paris, she lived recently in Rome, from where she was sent to Switzerland to draw Ignace Reiss into

PARIS.—On September 4, 1937, a trap, Schildbach is short, masthe Swiss police discovered at culine in figure, rather homely, graying, wears glasses, dresses ton of Vaud, not far from Laus- plainly. She succeeded in finding Reiss, visited him on the eve a thirty-eight year old man bear- of the assassination, told him of ing a passport in the name of her plan to break with Stalinism Hermann Eberhardt. The pubas he had broken, and invited lication of photographs of the him to supper. She was with him when he was assaulted, kidnapped into an automobile, and riddled with seven bullets, five of them in his head. In the hands of the assassinated man were found strands of hair of Gertrud Schildbach.

> In the automobile there was also found a top-coat with a Madrid label, which leads to the sup-Ignace Reiss belonged to the or-

> Schildbach has probably taken refuge in France or in Italy. The Swiss police being in possession of her photograph, it is not very likely that she tried to reach the Soviet Union by the land route.

Revolutionary Record

Reiss was born on January 1st 1899, in a middle class Jewish family of the Austrian part of Poland. From his adolescence Broke With Assassins in high school, he came into con-"I began my activity as a so- tact with the revolutionary labor movement. He studied law in Vienna, but did not complete his studies, having too closely allied himself with the revolutionary movement. He joined the Communist Party of Austria, collaborated in the Polish paper, Swit, and was sent to Poland by the Party in 1920. There he was arrested, tortured and condemned to five years in prison. After six months, however, he was released on bail, thanks to the special regulations applying to former Austrian Poland. In 1923, he went to Germany where he was in contact with Russians who were supporting the German Communists. Up to 1926, he worked in the Ruhr, then returned to Vienna where he spent some time in prison. In 1927, he went to the Soviet Union where he became a member of the Russian Communist Party. Later the party charged him with missions in various cities of Central and Western Europe. From 1929 to 1932 he was again in the U.S.S.R., then resumed his activity abroad finally, the decline of Stalinism and of the Comintern convinced him that it was no longer possible to serve Stalin. He decided to return to Lenin and wrote his letter to the Russian Communist Party, for which he was to pay with his life. Honor to his me-

STALINISM **BOLSHEVISM**

Leon TROTSKY

Pioneer Publishers 100 Fifth Avenue New York, N. Y.

Reiss Broke With Stalin Painters' Strike Settlement Arouses Dissatisfaction with Stalinist Leadership

major demands (the 6 hour day union) ignored not only by the bosses but also by the union administration.

The new agreement calls for wage increase (from \$9 to 10. 50 per day), recognition of shop stewards and continuation of the 25 per cent hiring through the union. The Master Painters' Association, the union of the big painting contractors, in their efforts to secure from the real estate owners a considerable inposition that the assassins of crease in contract prices on the grounds of higher costs in what ganization which did away with promises to be the best painting season in several years, had indicated as early as last spring their willingness to agree to a wage increase. Recognition of shop stewards, as it is worded in the present agreement, means little or nothing because the union has no power to appoint stewards in those shops where the bosses, through the threat of dismissal or discrimination can control the steward elections. This gain is essentially the candy coating of the bitter fact that the union got exactly what the Master Painters had been willing to give-strike or no strike.

Real Demands Ignored

The press reports testify eloquently to the above. From the New York Times through the Mirror down to the Daily Worker, all accounts during the strike agree that the 6 hour and 50 per cent demands were hardly raised by the union negotiating committee, and since there was no disagreement on wages, the only point which the committee fought majority of the delegates. for was the recognition of shop stewards. Ignoring the real demands—the committee was interested only in securing its candy coating,

Finally, the bosses agreed to the innoccuous shop steward clause and Louis Weinstock, Stalinist, and secretary of the District Council was reported in the press as hailing the agreement as a complete victory.

The reasons for such a "victory" in a period of unparalleled 54 vote to table action until the advances of workers throughout next meeting. the country can be found in the Progressives, led by Chalmers is the activity of the supporters policies and actions of an admi- K. Stewart of the Federation of of Lee D. Schroy, present repubnistration composed of Stalinists Teachers, and delegates from the lican mayor of Akron, who are and their "united front" allies, barbers', truck-drivers', and seeking control of the C.L.U. to mostly former members of the machinists' locals waged a bitter split the labor movement and diold Zausner machine.

Future issues of this paper will deal with these questions and the record of the administration during its 20-month rule in detail. For the present it is sufficient to point out that the Peoples Front policy of the Stalinist bureaucracy, translated into terms of the present political and trade union situation in N. Y. C. compel the C. P. members in the union to avoid any appearance of radicalism in order to appear a resolution of the Minneapolis labor movement, forecasting the respectable in the eyes of the central labor body condemning political and trade union weather "friends" of labor in the leader- the A. F. of L. stand on the C.I.O. to come. Today the needle point ship if the local Republican Party question was heartily endorsed. to storms ahead. The Social

the close of the 21/2 weeks Paint- the strike and a lot of workers er's Strike. 12,000 painters, mem- might get a wrong impression bers of District Council No. 9, about Our Mayor. Beside such Brotherhood of Painters, De- a situation might play into the corators and Paperhangers of hands of Tammany Hall which America returned to work, their is the current "main danger." Only last Saturday a general 50 per cent hiring through the membership meeting called primarily to explain the new agreement was turned into an election rally for Thomas Dewey. Still fresh in the minds of the membership are the remarks of Weinstock at a meeting of Local 3 of the Electrical Workers a few of strategy. The problems conmonths ago. The meeting was nected with this will be dicussed called to protest Dewey's move in the columns of this paper. to investigate the union's acti- The road of class struggle is the

Wednesday Sept. 15 marked Flower" to call the cops, to break and his committee as a unionbusting outfit.

A good section of the membership, long dissatisfied with the administration's conduct, are greatly disturbed by the new agreement and provide the posibility of building a genuine progressive movement in the union. A sufficient number of militant workers, not reactionary elements talking progressivism, to begin this work already exist. They must band together, adopt a program and decide on a line vities and Weinstock in no un-certain terms denounced Dewey There is no other way.

W. Green Splits up Akron Labor

By Blake Lear

of the callous bureaucrats of the A. F. of L., Wilmer Tate, progressive president of the Akron Central Labor Union, has been suspended from office and is to be placed on trial on Oct. 8.

The trigger man for the A. F. of L., was H. A. Bradley, a creature notorious in local labor circles. Bradley, who later announced, "I am not here to argue legality," seized the gavel at the September 23 meeting of the C. L. U., refused to show any mandate whatever for the action. arbitrarily ruled motions out of order, refused to entertain appeals from decision of the chair, threatened to lift the charter of the C. L. U., and refused to accept the democratic and vehemently expressed will of the

Majority Fights Bradley

The will of the majority, though distorted by the exigencies of a roll call vote demanded by the representatives of the building trades, who forced their rank and file to support the trial motion upon penalty of refusing to send them on jobs, and by the rulings of Bradley, who recognized only the building trades' formulations, was clearly expressed in a 68 to

fight against Bradley in a meeting that was a rioutous uproar from Partisan League's candidate, the the moment that Bradley usurped the chair.

Motions to obligate packed delegations were defeated three times, a letter to Green demanding an A. F. of L. representative for Akron affairs other than Bradley was whooped through, a letter to Green condemning Bradley's high-handed, undemocratic action passed 66 to 41, and as a barometer of the American

and the labor bureaucrats. A the most progressive central labor charted the correct course, can militant strike (God forbid) bodies in the country, the A. F. alone emerge with its prestige would only compel labor's "Little of L. shellbacks have demonstrat- enhanced and all sails set.

to preserve their interests at the AKRON, Ohio.-Under orders expense of the working class.

This action is the culmination of a long period of sniping and open struggle between the muscle boys of the building trades and the progressive A. F. L.'ers grouped around the large rubber

It was only late last August that the C.I.O. affiliates were expelled from the C.L.U. upon a direct order from Green. Previous letters seeking to drive a wedge between the A. F. of L. and the C.I.O. locals had always been perfunctorily filed. A joint board to mediate jurisdictional disputes was immediately set up in preparation for the maintenance of the unity of Akron labor.

Green Breaks Unity

This cooperation was rudely interrupted by Green, however, who utilized the initial advantage gained by the naive compliance to his letter (only one left-wing delegate having spoken against accepting Green's letter, the other delegates thinking that the joint board was the happy solution for everything) to begin his drive against progressive locals in the A. F. of L., a program that plainly seems to be heading towards expulsion from the A. F. of L.

An additional factor tending toward the wrecking of the C.L.U. vert votes from Labor's Nonself-styled New Dealer, Judge G. L. Patterson, who is endorsed by the democratic party, Akron labor generally, the Communist Party. and if we are to believe a speech of Earl Browder's last Thursday, by Thom Paine, Jefferson, Washington, Lincoln and Stalin - all good boys in their way too.

Akron has come to be known By this action against one of Party of Akron, having alor

The Wall Streeters Behind LaGuardia

Mayor Is "Liberial" Front for Prominent Reactionary Backers

With New York's election campaign well on its second lap, the political picture is now crystal

the Rockefeleler-Morgan-duPont interests, the Stalin tailists (as Lenin would have called this alliance were he alive today) and the Altman-Thomas band-wagon chasers.

On the opposite side stand the Left Socialists, mobilizing the toilers for a class struggle with their own independent candidates, alone upholding the banner of revolutionary Marxism and fighting, at one and the same time, both Wall Street imperialism and the misleaders of the labor move-

The painful surprise given many militant workers by the open alliance of the Wall Street Tories with the Stalinists and the Thomaites has been acutely intensified by recent events. As though it were not disgusting enough to witness the spectacle of the People's Fronters groveling before Fiorello H. LaGuardia, the Mayor suddenly added more stench to the betrayal by announcing his endorsement of George U. Harvey, Red-baiter, necessary to call attention to the Ku Kluxer and supporter of director of the La Guardia European fascism.

But the Harvey incident is only one of a hunderd to bring into bold relief the true political character of LaGuardia and emphasize more the need of acquainting the city's toilers with the Mayor's supporters. A careful survey shows that many of Wall Street's most notorious reactionaries are working actively for LaGuardia's re-election.

Consider, for example, the person of William M. Chadbourne, the Republican Party's chairman for the LaGuardia campaign. Chadbourne has been brought forward as a "progressive" but the facts of his political and economic background have been cleverly concealed from the city's

In 1920 Chadbourne was an active campaigner for the election of Warren G. Harding, whose cabinet (how can anyone forget?) reeked to the skies with graft, bribery and corruption of the foulest kind. On May 16, 1920 Chadbourne was host at a dinner to prominent backers of the oilsmeared President.

In February 1932, Chadbourne, who is a millionaire corporation lawyer, was found to be working with the Trade and Commercial Bar Association to break down than any other individual in the nation's anti-trust laws in America. Winthrop will probably behalf of Rockefeller and Morgan serve as a member of the Fusion and impose new burdens on the finance committee. In 1924 he h harassed population. Chadbourne was a charter member of this Wall Street group.

The campaign chairman is also connected with big business interests exploiting millions of workers in the South American semi-colonies of Wall Street imperialism. He is a member of the Maracaibo Corp. This concern controls the capital stock of the Unicsa Petroleum Corp. and many other concessions in Venezuela, where workers are employed at the starvation scale. The Maracaibo outfit has interests in the Barco concession in Columbia. Through this connection, Chadbourne is associated with the Carib Syndicate (a House of Morgan affiliate) and the Gulf il Corp. (belonging to the Mellon tate).

He is a director of the Commonwealth International Corp.; the U. S. Distributing Corp.; the Drilling and Exploration Co., and By James Casey

the Bruck Silk Mills, Ltd., a On the one side are arrayed British Company. He is also chairman of the board of directors of the MacArthur Concrete Pile Corp.

No picture of Chadbourne would be complete, however, without mention of his political activities abroad. Several years ago, Chadbourne was a member of the United Russian Relief, Inc., an organization raising funds for monarchists and white guards in Paris and other parts of Europe. Since the Stalin regime is executing and "isolating" revolutionary leaders and workers by the tens of thousands, it is not out of keeping with Stalinist policy for People's Frontists in America to work hand in hand, with Chadbourne against the toiling masses.

Fusion's Chairman

Lest some naive worker may feel that the appointment of Chadbourne was not deliberate, but just a horrible mistake on the part of the Republicans, it is only campaign for the City Fusion Party. This Fusion crowd is made Wall Streeters Give Aid up of those "progressive forces" that the Daily Worker hails so the Republican Women's the Socialist Party to La Guarproudly as the new political cronies of the Stalinists. And the Social Registerite (one of Ame-Fusion Party's selection of chair- rica's 400). man for the Mayor's campaign carries indisputable evidence that Wall Street has placed its besttrained men in the most strategic spots to assure the re-election of its pet candidate.

Maurice P. Davidson is not a labor man and has never been connected with trade unions. Indeed, the Fusion campaign Chairman, although on the city payroll as one of LaGuardia's department heads, is closely identified with the city's biggest business

This new political associate of the Stalinists and the Thomasites is a director of the Clinton Gates Corp.; director of the Tri-National Trading Corp.; director of Warren Securities Corp.; secretary and director of the Alaned Realty Corp., and a director of the Brierfield Operating Corp. He is also associated with the corporation law firm of Davidson and Mann.

Another leading backer of La Guardia is Col. Henry Rogers Winthrop. This man, a Wall Street financier, has raised more money in political campaigns was national treasurer for the Republican Senatorial Campaign Committee. In 1932 Winthrop was head of a powerful group raising funds for the Herbert

SOCIALIST APPEAL

Vol. 1. - No. 8 Saturday October 2nd, 1937

Published every week by the Socialist Appeal Pub. Assn.

Published at Room 1609 100 Fifth Avenue, New York

Subscriptions: \$2.00 per year; \$1.00 for 6 months. Bundle orders 3 cents per copy. Single copies 5 cents.

All checks and money orders should be made out to the Socialist Appeal.

Application for entry as second class matter is pending. Guardia mayoralty campaign.

Winthrop is a director of the Exchange.

G.O.P.'ers In Lead for Mayor

Still another active supporter of LaGuardia is William M.Calder, former U.S. Senator from New York and an Old Guard Republican. While in the Senate, Calder was a mouthpiece for General Motors, U. S. Steel Corp., General Electric and other Morgan-du-Pent interests. He is a director

Other reactionary backers of the Mayor include Henry L. Stimson, Secretary of State under Hoover; General John F. Ryan, militarist and Big Business man, and Charles E. Hughes, Jr., corporation lawyer and son of the Republican Chief Justice of the Supreme Court. A leading woman supporter of the Mayor is Mrs H. Edward Dreier, chairman of that no support is to be given by Campaign Committee, and a

All of the aforementioned are sts, have announced their endorsement of LaGuardia, whose antilabor record already has been outlined by the Socialist Appeal.

(The next article will tell why of LaGuardia).

Hoover campaign. In 1933 he@ raised funds for the first La

Chase National Bank, a Rockefeller institution. He is a director of the Long Island Railroad, the Wabash Railway, the Ann Arbor Railroad, the U.S. Rubber Co., (a Morgan concern) and the American Express Co. He is a member of the New York Stock

of the Mutual Life Insurance

Hundred-bankers, industrialists, manufacturers, Social Registrites -who, together with the Stalin

Wall Street favors the re-election

'Call' Has Alibi On La Guardia In reporting the decisions of ing us a little trick. Perhaps it

tee of the Socialist Party, the "The withdrawal of Thomas as candidate for mayor will be permitted if it is felt that such action would promote cooperative relations between the ALP and the Socialist Party in the future." Everyone naturally understands that "cooperative relations" will beyond doubt be "promoted" by withdrawal of Thomas, and that such withdrawal is a foregone conclusion.

The Call, however, goes on to say: "In no case is the Socialist Party to give an endorsement to any candidate, like La Guardia, who appears on the ticket of a capitalist party". And the "conditions" under which the NEC endorsed the Altman-Thomas motion include the following: "2. It shall be made clear to the ALP

Means Endorsement

No endorsement of or support members of a Committee of One to La Guardia? We would like to ask the Call, then: Whom on the horizon..." Tyler: "If the will you endorse or support as candidate for Mayor? When through, the SP will be taking workers who are planning to the first step in class collaboravote the Socialist ticket notice that there is no mayoralty candidate, and ask you whom to support for Mayor, what are you going to tell them?

But perhaps the Call is play-

the National Executive Commit- merely wants to cover its own tracks, and to do a little face-Socialist Call of Sept. 11th states: saving for Tyler, in order to make Tyler's capitulation somewhat more graceful. Tyler himself, it should be remembered. was so certain that the NEC decision meant support of La Guardia that he resigned as editor of the Call in protest. A few hours later he was persuaded to change his mind (in order to keep an unbroken front against the left wing), and the Call article might have been part of the bait used to lure him back.

> Our view is notoriously prejudiced in these matters. Maybe we are just slandering when we say that the NEC decided to support La Guardia. Let us recall again what the Clarityite members of the NEC said themselves about the motion which the NEC adopted. (Quoting from the deliberations of the NEC) Kreuger: "The step proposed by the New York majority is a step which commits us to a People's Front, which permits our participation in the labor-liberal-capitalist party which is appearing majority proposition goes tion... There is a limit to compromise when you begin to cross class lines..." Felix: "The Chicago Convention was hoodwinked. It did not elect a sufficiently large majority of left wingers on the NEC..." And Kreuger again, after the vote had been taken: "We are starting on the road to class collaboration.

The document signed by Max Delson and Herbert Zam (as the minority of the Municipal Campaign Committee) in opposition to the Altman-Thomas motion, is even more explicit. A few pearls: "This policy will eventually lead to the elimination of the Socialist Party as a political factor in this country... Capitulation to the backwardness of the workers, or of the trade unions can only give us another German Social-Democratic Party, never a revolutionary Marxist party... The support of La Guardia is in effect People's Frontism, a policy categorically and almost unanimously rejected by the convention... But the position of the Local New York administration is a direct repudiation of the Socialist Party's position in the presidential campaign IT IS A. CAPITULATION TO THE C. P. little bit of hard-won freedom AND TO PEOPLE'S FRONT-that the seamen at present have. ISM." (Capital letters in the original).

C.P. Pushes La Guardia-F.D.R. In East Coast Marine Union

of the Deck division of the Naional Maritime Union, some of he members came to realize what was really meant when a motion was passed at the previous meeting to form an "Anti-Copeland committee". The report of the committee this week revealed that it is pushing for La Guardia to the fullest extent under the guise of anti-Copeland activity. The question by a member, "Who the hell said we were for a phoney labor party" was sidestepped. Militants attempted to get the floor but the chairman succeeded in giving it to someone who moved discussion be closed: A motion which invariably passes.

The CP group again showed its colors to be yellow and not ate the government for all of its red. Joe Curran has been following their lead right along. The question of demanding a bonus of a few hundred dollars from the shipowner for each seaman employed on ships carrying cargo into war zones was being discussed. A militant worker arose and reminded the Stalinist leadership that, "we have brother unionists over there fighting against Spanish Fascism and you guys are advocating-that it's O.K. to send ammunition to the Fascists who are shooting my pals as long as I get two hundred dollars for it." Chairman Curran, who always blusters thru such situations by humorously ridiculing the speaker, succeeded in

At the September 14 meeting | "through the war zone" thus evading the fact that some of these ships bring "cargo" to Franco's forces.

> It seems contradictory that on one hand the N.M.U. has a committee functioning to collect money to buy an ambulance, cigarettes, candy, etc. for their shipmates fighting in the Loyalists ranks and on the other hand they are hollering for a "bonus", to salve their conscience for they know they are sending bullets to Fascist forces; bullets that will murder their shipmates to whom they are sending cigarettes, candy, and an ambulance.

> The CP fraction of the N.M.U. is doing its damndest to exonerrepressive measures against the The government faithfully carried thru the interests of the class it serves when it ordered, thru the person of J. P. Kennedy. Chairman of the Maritime Commission, that the seamen of the "Algic" be placed in irons.

The seamen of the "Algic' are guilty of putting into action the slogan, "Workers of the World, Unite." They refused to handle the cargo and break the strike of their fellow longshoremen of Montevideo, Uruguay. And the Communist Party says, in an effort to save the face of the government, "Copeland Puts shielding the CP again by stat- Seamen in chains". What a ing that the bonus is demanded damned lie. The government oronly on those ships going dered it.

Delson-Zam Estimate

But does the motion mean support of La Guardia"? Let Delson and Zam give the answer: THE MAJORITY PROPOSAL MEANS SUPPORT OF LA GUARDIA, EVEN THOUGH THE LANGUAGE IS DELIBE-RATELY AMBIGUOUS. But it s not frank, forthright support, such as that given La Guardia by the ALP and the Communiists. It is hidden and cowardly support, even more cowardly than that given by the Communist Party to Roosevelt last year."

Stalinism and Bolshevism - An Article Concerning the Roots and Traditions of - By Leon Trotsky

its historic role. In this regard Stalinism, coarse, igno-

(Concluded from the last issue)

Marxists are wholly in agreement with the anarchists in regard to the final goal: the liquidation of the state. Marxists are "state-ist" only to the extent that one cannot achieve the liquidation of the state simply by ignoring it. The experience of Stalinism does not refute the teaching of Marxism but confirms it by inversion. The revolutionary doctrine which teaches the proletariat to orientate itself correctly in situations and to profit actively by them, contains of course no automatic guarantee of victory. But victory is possible only through the application of this doctrine. Moreover, the victory must not be thought of as a single event. It must be considered in the perspective of an historic epoch. The first workers' state—on a lower economic basis and surrounded by imperialism—was transformed into the gendarmerie of Stalinism. But genuine Bolshevism launched a life and death struggle against that gendarmerie. To maintain itself. Stalinism is now forced to conduct a direct civil war against Bolshevism, under the name of "Trotakyism", not only in the U. S. S. R. but also in Spain. The old Bolshevik party is dead but Bolshevism is raising its head everywhere.

To deduce Stalinism from Bolshevism or from Marxism is the same as to deduce, in a larger sense, counterrevolution from revolution. Liberal-conservative and later reformist thinking has always been characterized by this cliche. Due to the class structure of society, revolutions have always produced counter-revolutions. Does this not indicate, asks the logician, that there is some inner flaw in the revolutionary method? However. neither the liberals nor the reformists have succeeded. as yet, in inventing a more "economical" method. But if it is not easy to rationalize the living historic process, it is not at all difficult to give a rational interpretation of the alternation of its waves, and thus by pure logic to deduce Stalinism from "state socialism", fascism from Marxism, reaction from revolution, in a word, the antithesis from the thesis. In this domain as in many others anarchist thought is the prisoner of liberal rationalism. Real revolutionary thinking is not possible without dialectics.

THE POLITICAL "SINS" OF BOLSHEVISM AS THE SOURCE OF STALINISM

The arguments of the rationalists assume at times. at least in their outer form, a more concrete character. They do not deduce Stalinism from Bolshevism as a whole but from its political sins.* The Bolshevik-according to Gorter, Pannekoek, certain German "spartakists" and others—replaced the dictatorship of the proletariat with the dictatorship of the party; Stalin replaced the dictatorship of the party with the dictatorship of the bureaucracy. The Bolsheviks destroyed all parties but their own; Stalin strangled the Bolshevik party in the interest of a Bonapartist clique. The Bolsheviks made compromises with the bourgeoisie; Stalin became its ally and support. The Bolsheviks preached the necessity of participation in the old trade unions and in the bourggeois parliament: Stalin made friends with the trade union bureaucracy and bourgeois democracy. One can make such comparisons at will. For all their apparent effectiveness they are entirely empty.

The proletariat can take power only through its vanguard. In itself the necessity for state power arises from an insufficient cultural level of the masses and their heterogeneity. In the revolutionary vanguard, organized in a party, is crystallized the aspiration of the masses to obtain their freedom. Without the confidence of the class in the vanguard, without support of the vanguard by the class, there can be no talk of the conquest of power. In this sense the proletarian revolution and dictatorship are the work of the whole class, but only under the leadership of the vanguard. The Soviets

are only the organized form of the tie between the vanguard and the class. A revolutionary content can be given to this form only by the party. This is proved by the positive experience of the October Revolution and by the negative experience of other countries (Germany, Austria, finally Spain). No one has either shown in practice or tried to explain articulately on paper how the proletariat can seize power without the political leadership of a party that knows what it wants. The fact that this party subordinates the Soviets politically to its leaders, has, in itself, abolished the Soviet system no more than the domination of the conservative majority has abolished the British parliamentary system.

As far as the prohibition of the other Soviet parties is concerned, it did not flow from any "theory" of Bolshevism but was a measure of defence of the dictatorship in a backward and devastated country, surrounded by enemies on all sides. For the Bolsheviks it was clear from the beginning that this measure, later completed by the prohibition of factions inside the governing party itself. signalized a tremendous danger. However, the root of the danger lay not in the doctrine or in the tactics but in the material weakness of the dictatorship, in the difficulties of its internal and international situation. If the revolution had triumphed, even if only in Germany, the need of prohibiting the other Soviet parties would immediately have fallen away. It is absolutely indisputable that the domination of a single party served as the juridical point of departure for the Stalinist totalitarian system. But the reason for this development lies neither in Bolshevism nor in the prohibition of other parties as a temporary war measure, but in the number of defeats of the proletariat in Europe and Asia.

The same applies to the struggle with anarchism. In the heroic epoch of the revolution the Bolsheviks went hand in hand with the genuinely revolutionary anarchists. Many of them were drawn into the ranks of the party. The author of these lines discussed with Lenin more than once the possibility of allotting to the anarchists certain territories where, with the consent of the local population, they would carry out their stateless experiment. But civil war, blockade, and hunger left no room for such plans. The Kronstadt insurrection? But the revolutionary government naturally could not "present" to the insurrectionary sailors the fortress which protected the capital only because the reactionary peasant-soldier rebellion was joined by a few doubtful anarchists. A concrete historical analysis of the events leaves not the slightest room for the legends, built up on ignorance and sentimentality, concerning Kronstadt, Makhno and other episodes of the revolution.

There remains only the fact that the Bolsheviks from the beginning applied not only conviction but also compulsion, often to a most brutal degree. It is also indisputable that later the bureaucracy which grew out of the revolution monopolized the system of compulsion for its own use. Every stage of development, even such catastrophic stages as revolution and counter-revolution, flows from the preceding stage, is rooted in it and takes on some of its features. Liberals, including the Webbs, have always maintained that the Bolshevik dictatorship was only a new version of Czarism. They close their eyes to such "details" as the abolition of the monarchy and the nobility, the handing over of the land to the peasants, the expropriation of capital, the introduction of planned economy, atheist education, etc. In the same way liberalanarchist thought closes its eyes to the fact that the Bolshevist revolution, with all its repressions, meant an upheaval of social relations in the interest of the masses, whereas the Stalinist thermidorian upheaval accompanies the transformation of Soviet society in the interest of a privileged minority. It is clear that in the identification of Stalinism with Bolshevism there is not a trace of socialist criteria.

QUESTIONS OF THEORY

One of the most outstanding features of Bolshevism has been its severe, exacting, even quarrelsome attitude toward questions of doctrine. The twenty-seven volumes of Lenin's works will remain forever an example of the highest theoretical conscientiousness. Without this fundamental quality Bolshevism would never have fulfilled

rant and thoroughly empiric, lies at the opposite pole. The Opposition declared more than ten years ago in its program: "Since Lenin's death a whole set of new theories has been created, whose only purpose is to justify the backsliding of the Stalinists from the path of the international proletarian revolution." Only a few days ago an American writer, Liston M. Oak, who has participated in the Spanish Revolution, wrote: "The Stalinists in fact are today the foremost revisionists of Marx and Lenin-Bernstein did not dare to go half as far as Stalin in revising Marx." This is absolutely true. One must add only that Bernstein actually felt certain theoretical needs: he tried conscientiously to establish the relationship between the reformist practices of social democracy and its program. The Stalinist bureaucracy. however, not only has nothing in common with Marxism but is in general foreign to any doctrine or system what-

QUESTION OF MORALS

soever. Its "ideology" is thoroughly permeated with

police subjectivism, its practice is the empiricism of

crude violence. In keeping with its essential interests the

caste of usurpers is hostile to any theory: it can give an

account of its social role neither to itself nor to anyone

else. Stalin revises Marx and Lenin not with the theore-

tician's pen but with the heel of the G. P. U.

Complaints of the "immorality" of Bolshevism come particularly from those boastful nonentities whose cheap masks were torn away by Bolshevism. In petty-bourgeois, intellectual, democratic, "socialist", literary, par-

COMING AS A PAMPHLET

The essay Stalinism and Bolsherism is being reprinted here by arrangement with the Pioneer Publishers. It will appear in a few days as a 32 page pamphlet for mass distribution. Single copies are available at 10 cents, with orders of five or more at reduced rates. Write to the publishers at 100 Fifth Ave., New York, N. Y.

JUST PUBLISHED

RUSSIA TWENTY YEARS AFTER

By Victor Serge

Do Soviet workers enjoy freedom of the press and opinion?

What are the hidden implications of the new Constitution?

Who are the men and women incarcerated in the penitentiaries and solitaries? What are their crimes?

What is behind the secret service? Is there an effective opposition movement and how does it manage to survive?

What actually took place behind the scenes of the Zinoviev-Kamenev trial?

All of these questions and more are answered and fearlessly by a man who actually lived and fought for the revolution.

Victor Serge describes the democratic character of the regime envisioned by Lenin and Trotsky and contrasts it with present social conditions. Using the rise of the vast conservative bureaucracy as an axis, the takes up such important aspects of soviet life as the conditions of the workers and the inequalities of the wage scale, the methods by which industrialization and collectivization of agriculture was carried out, the truth behind the Stakanor movement, the all-pervading growth of a police dominated state and the new system of legislation which has replaced that of Lenin.

298 page \$2.00

PIONEER POSEISHERS

100 Fifth Avenue, New York City

liamentary and other circles, conventional values prevail, or a conventional language to cover their lack of values. This large and motley society for mutual protection— "live and let live"—cannot bear the touch of the Marxist lancet on its sensitive skin. The theoreticians, writers and moralists, hesitating between different camps, thought and continue to think that the Bolsheviks maliciously exaggerate differences, are incapable of "loyal" collaboration and by their "intrigues" disrupt the unity of the workers' movement. Moreover, the sensitive and squeamish centrist has always thought that the Bolsheviks were "calumniating" him—simply because they carried through to the end for him his half-developed thoughts: he himself was never able to. But the fact remains that only that precious quality, an uncompromising attitude toward all quibbling and evasion, can educate a revolutionary party which will not be taken unawares by "exceptional circumstances".

The moral qualities of every party flow, in the last analysis, from the historical interests that it represents. The moral qualities of Bolshevism, self-renunciation, disinterestedness, audacity and contempt for every kind of tinsel and falsehood—the highest qualities of human nature!—flow from revolutionary intransigeance in the service of the oppressed. The Stalinist bureaucracy imitates also in this domain the words and gestures of Bolshevism. But when "intransigeance" and "inflexibility" are applied by a police apparatus in the service of a privileged minority they become a source of demoralization and gangsterism. One can feel only contempt for these gentlemen who identify the revolutionary heroism of the Bolsheviks with the bureaucratic cynicism of the Thermidorians.

Even now, in spite of the dramatic events of the recent period, the average philistine prefers to believe that the struggle between Bolshevism ("Trotskyism") and Stalinism concerns a clash of personal ambitions, or, at best, a conflict between two "shades" of Bolshevism. The crudest expression of this opinion is given by Norman Thomas, leader of the American Socialist Party: "There is little reason to believe." he writes (Socialist Review, Sept. 1937, pag. 6) "that if Trotsky had won (!) instead of Stalin, there would have been an end of intrigue, plots, and the reign of fear in Russia." And this man considers himself ... a Marxist. One would have the same right to say: "There is little reason to believe that if instead of Pius XI, the Holy See were occupied by Norman I, the Catholic Church would have been transformed into a bulwark of socialism." Thomas fails to understand that it is not a question of a match between Stalin and Trotsky, but of an antagonism between the bureaucracy and the proletariat. To be sure, the governing stratum of the U.S.S.R. is forced even now to adapt itself to the still not wholly liquidated heritage of revolution, while preparing at the same time through direct civil war (bloody "purge"-mass annihilation of the discontented) a change of the social regime. But in Spain the Stalinist clique is already acting openly as a bulwark of the bourgeois order against socialism. The struggle against the Bonapartist bureaucracy is turning before our eyes into class struggle: two worlds, two programs, two moralities. If Thomas thinks that the victory of the socialist proletariat over the infamous caste of oppressors would not politically and morally regenerate the Soviet regime, he proves only that for all his reservations, shufflings and pious sighs he is far nearer to the Stalinist bureaucracy than to the workers.

Like other exposers of Bolshevik "immorality", Thomas has simply not grown up to revolutionary morals.

THE TRADITIONS OF BOLSHEVISM AND THE FOURTH
INTERNATIONAL

The "lefts" who tried to skip Bolshevism in their "return" to Marxism generally confined themselves to isolated panaceas: boycott of the old trade unions, boycott of parliament, creation of "genuine" soviets. All this could still seem extremely profound in the first heat

of the post-war days. But now, in the light of most recent experience, such "infantile diseases" have no longer even the interest of a curiosity. The Dutchmen Gorter and Pannekoik, the German "Spartakists", the Italian Bordigists, showed their independence from Bolshevism only by artificially inflating one of its features and opposing it to the rest. But nothing has remained either in practice or in theory of these "left" tendencies: an indirect but important proof that Bolshevism is the only possible form of Marxism for this epoch.

The Bolshevik party has shown in action a combination of the highest revolutionary audacity and political realism. It has established for the first time the only relation between vanguard and class that can assure victory. It has proved by experience that the alliance between the proletariat and the oppressed masses of the tural and urban petty-bourgeoisie is possible only through the political overthrow of the traditional petty-bourgeois parties. The Bolshevik party has shown the entire world how to carry out armed insurrection and the seizure of power. Those who propose the abstraction of soviets to the party dictatorship should understand that only thanks to the Bolshevik leadership were the soviets able to lift themselves out of the mud of reformism and attain the state form of the proletariat. The Bolshevik party achieved in the civil war the correct combination of military art and Marxist politics. Even if the Stalinist bureacracy should succeed in destroying the economic foundations of the new society, the experience of planned economy under the leadership of the Bolshevik party will have entered history for all time as one of the greatest teachings of mankind. This can be ignored only by bruised and offended sectarians who have turned their backs on the process of history.

But this is not all. The Bolshevik party was able to carry on its magnificent "practical" work only because it illuminated all its steps with theory. Bolshevism did not create this theory: it was furnished by Marxism. But Marxism is the theory of movement, not of stagnation. Only events on a tremendous historical scale could enrich the theory itself. Bolshevism brought an invaluable contribution to Marxism in its analysis of the imperialist epoch as an epoch of wars and revolutions; of bourgeois democracy in the era of decaying capitalism; of the correlation between the general strike and the insurrection; of the role of party, soviets and trade unions in the period of proletarian revolution; in its theory of the soviet state, of the economy of transition, of fascism and Bonapartism in the epoch of capitalist decline; finally in its analysis of the degeneration of the Bolshevik party itself and of the soviet state. Let any other tendency be named that has added anything essential to the conclusions and generalizations of Bolshevism. Theoretically and politically Vandervelde, De Brouckère, Hilferding, Otto Bauer, Léon Blum, Zyromski, not to mention Major Attlee and Norman Thomas, live on the dilapidated left-overs of the past. The degeneration of the Comintern is most crudely expressed by the fact that it has dropped to the theoretical level of the Second International. All the varieties of intermediary groups (Independent Labour Party of Great Britain, P.O.U.M. and their like) adapt every week new haphazard fragments of Marx and Lenin to their current needs. They can teach the workers nothing.

Only the founders of the Fourth International, who have made their own the whole tradition of Marx and Lenin, take a serious attitude toward theory. Philistines may jeer that twenty years after the October victory the revolutionaries are again thrown back to modest propagandist preparation. The big capitalists are, in this question as in many others, far more penetrating than the petty-bourgeois who imagine themselves "socialists" or "communists". It is no accident that the subject of the Fourth International does not leave the columns of the world press. The burning historical need for revolutionary leadership promises to the Fourth International an exceptionally rapid tempo of growth. The greatest guarantee of its further success lies in the fact that it has not arisen away from the large historic road, but is an organic outgrowth of Bolshevism.

August 29, 1987.

One of the outstanding representatives of this type of thinking is the French author of the book on Stalin, B. Souvarine. The factual and documentary side of Souvarine's work is the product of long and conscientious research. However, the historical philosophy of the author is striking in its vulgarity. To explain all subsequent historical mishaps he seeks the inner flaws of Bolshevism. The influence of the real conditions of the historical process on Bolshevism are non-existent for him. Even Taine with his theory of "milieu" is closer to Marx than Souvarine.



Spain and the Coming World War

Civil War May Be Completely Transformed Into Imperialist Conflict

week's issue of the Appeal, I the road to the proletarian revodiscussed the possibility that the lution in the colonies and backnew imperailist war might grow ward nations lies through resisby a series of gradual stages out tance to the imperialist oppressof present (Ethiopian, Spanish, ors. Chinese.....) and future armed conflicts on the world arena, Ethiopia against Italy, and supwithout any sharp gulf between port China against Japan. "peace" and war, and without formal "declaration of war" by Fascism and Democracy the great powers.

against each other, can test gun. By this criterion the war has not begun. None of the great powers is yet at war, with the exception of Japan; and Japan is engaged not in an inter-imperialist war, but in a war against the semi-colonial country. China. The extent of Italian and German operations in Spain is not enough Spain are an "expeditionary force", not "national armies".

England's Position

great fact weighing against the possibility I have been discussing is, of course, the position of England-this along with the fear of revolution by all of the powers. England is not ready for war, and seeks consequentely to delay its outbreak. England may succeed in keeping the Spanish and Chinese events sufficiently localized to prevent their spread into general war; and may even achieve temporary "solutions" for them. Even then, however, there are already France and the Near East approaching the boiling point. It is almost inconceivable that an analogue of the Spanish conflict, occurring in France-by no means unlikely, even in the comparatively near future - could remain within French national boundaries.

The key importance of understanding this possibility - and of preparing for it-is seen in this: that the attitude of Marxism toward inter-imperailist war is basically different from its attitude toward many kinds of war. Marxism shows that the coming imperialist war, like the War of 1914-18, will be a struggle of two coalitions of the great powers over the "division of the spoils", over colonies, spheres of influence, means of exploitation. From the point of in his ranks. view of both sides, it will be a reactionary and decadent war, no matter how it may be dressed under slogans of resist the "defend democracy against fascism", "defend the U. S. S. R.", or what not. Marxism therefore advocates in the coming war as in the last war, a position of all bourgeois governments; of defeatism with respect to both they give no political support sides and all the respective whatever to the People's Front governments, with the exception government; they defend the of the Soviet Union. The masses have nothing to gain from victory on either side.

But Marxism supports, in the present era, the armed struggles of colonies and backward nations against their imperialist oppressors. Politically, of course, in the case of colonies or backward nations as in the case of every nation, Marxism supports only the revolutionary program and its adherents. But materially it supports the struggle of the colony or background nation as

In an article published in last weaken imperialism and because

Marxists, consequently support

Even more obviously, in the It should be emphasized that it case of a civil war which is not is only a possibility that I am a mere palace intrigue or milidealing with. In the last anallysis, tary-bureaucratic shift, do Marxonly the very realistic criterion ists support the side of the workof a sufficiently large number of ers and popular masses against the armed forces of the great the side of straightforward reacpowers in the field fighting tion. They do so not merely in the case of an outright civil war whether or not the war has be- for proletarian power (tragically rare in history), but likewise when the class struggle assumes other forms: e.g., the form of an armed struggle between bourgeois democracy and fascism. Thus, Marxists support the struggle of the Loyalist army in Spain against Franco. They do make a distinction between the regime to constitute war in the full sense of Caballero or Negrin, on the from the point of view of Italy one side, and of Franco on the Germany; their troops in other. Their tactics, with respect to Franco's government and armies, advocate defeatism, sabotage, boycott of supplies, etc.; the tactics with respect to Caballero-Negrin advocate none of and that therefore all "peace-these. loving democratic people" should The tactics of the Marxists

toward the Spanish events flow from their conclusion that the dominant character of the struggle is that of civil war, in form of a war between bourgeois demogracy and fascism, in subworkers and peasants of their rights and positions against the certain annihilation of these by the victory of Franco. Though this is the dominant aspect of the Spanish struggle, from the beginning it has also had as secondary aspects: (a) that of a war of aggression against a backward nation by Italy and Germany; (b) that of an episode in the world-wide inter-imperialist conflict, which conflict is destined to issue in world imperialist war. It is in spite of (b) and in spite of the bourgeois coalition government which heads Loyalist Spain politically that Marxists support the Loyalist struggle against Franco, that they fight to win in the Lovalist armies, that they send aid to the Loyalist workers and soldiers even at the risk that such aid, controlled by the government, will in part be used against the workers, that they attempt to boycott aid to Franco and to agitate for a defeatist position

Two Kinds of Support

The Marxists give such support to the Loyalist struggle "for their own reasons"; they give it in absolute independence of the Loyalist government itself and rights and conquests of the workers against the government; and by their propaganda they prepare politically for the overthrow of the government and the victory of a workers' regime when a decisive section of the Spanish masses shall have been won to the program of workers' power. Such support and such support only is the road to the revolution in Spain.

The Stalinists, social-democrats, and other types of reformists and By James Burnham

terial support is subordinate to political support of the People's Front government. Since the People's Front government is a ultimately counter, revolutionary in nature, political support of such a government is political treachery; it is, as the Barcelona events proved, not support of the Spanish workers but defense of a capitalist government, against the workers and the revolution.

Marxists support the Loyalist struggle, entailing for a period defense of the government against Franco, in order to aid the Spanish workers and to prepare the ground for the definitive victory of workers' power, thus for the overthrow of that same government. The reformists support the government precisely in order to defend capitalism against socialism as well as against fascism, to betray the workers and to prepare the ground for the complete liquidation of the revolution. This is the harsh reality.

In order to bolster up their case not merely Franco but also Hitler and Mussolini are making war against "the legitimate democratic government" of Spain; unite to resist the mad dogs of Nazism and Fascism, the "aggressor nations" Germany and Italy. It happens to be false that Germany and Italy are making war against Valencia (though if true it would not at all alter the stance a defense by the Spanish Marxist attitude toward the Spanish events), but the falsity of this contention is its least sinister aspect. Let us examine

The Call for Government Action

The conclusion that the Stalinists and reformists draw from their argument that Germany and Italy are at war with Valencia is not, primarily, that independent working class action should be taken against them, but that governmental action by the 'democratic" powers should be taken against the "aggressor nations". "Collective action" of the democratic nations is the password; action by the governments assembled in the League of Nations or on the basis of the Kellog Pact, or through the Non-Intervention Committee, or the Nyon Conference—the mechanism chosen is incidental. In this country precisely the same conception leads to a demand essentially the same; invocation of the Neutrality Act against Germany and Italy, advocated alike by Browder, Thomas, Waldman, and the Socialist Call.

What is the meaning of this? Put simply and bluntly, the Stalinists and reformists are demanding war, imperialist war, and agreeing in advance to support it. Their entire international propaganda in connection with the Spanish events has, in fact. been consistently social-patriotic. and is merely a rehearsal for the betrayal to the new war And their position could result in nothing else: they support, politically and materially, the Valencia government, and defend politically and materially democratic capitalism against fascist aggression, whether by Franco, Hitler or Mussolini. If allies come in-England, say, or France—to aid in defending Valencia and in social-patriots also support the attaking Hitler and Mussolini, such, and rejects the conception Loyalist struggle, but their sup- they can only welcome this with of defeatism in these cases. It port is at the opposite pole from open arms, and support these does so because these struggles that of the Marxists. Their ma- allies just as they support

| Negrin. And they will do so. Marxists, on the direct conby capitalist governments in the and France should intervene on a gle should thus be transformed into an inter-imperialist war, Marxists explain that under such circumstances, the dominant character of the Spanish struggle would be entirely changed; its aspect as a civil war would be subordinated to its aspect as one episode or phase in the inter-imperailist conflict. The imperialist "allies" of the Valencia government would be entering armed conflict only for their own imperialist interests, and the Valencia government would be in every respect subordinated to those intèrests. At that time, to the Valencian armies, would in one set of imperialist interests as against another. The workers, then, could defend their own interests only by struggling, in to the Loyalist armies, since only

Dangers of Spain

with the changed character of

the struggle itself.

This change in the struggle, inter-imperialist as a civil war, to its reactionary tions, are neither far off nor unaspects as an episode in the likely.

inter-imperialist conflicts, is apparent during these last months. trary, are against all intervention and corresponds closely to the growingly reactionary character Spanish struggle, and for work- of the Valencia regime internally. ing class aid only. If England The inter-imperialist conflicts could become dominant not merebourgeois government and thus large scale, if the Spanish strug- ly through war, but, for example, through large scale intervention by England and France either independently or through the League; or by a sell-out deal by Valencia with Franco engineered along the lines long ago suggested by England. Under any of these circumstances, the same conclusion would have to be drawn by Marxists: the continuation of struggle of the workers for their own interests and for the socialist revolution would become incompatible with any attitude even of temporary "toleration" or "defense" of the Pcople's Front regime; that regime would fight loyally, to fight to win, in have become indistinguishable, the Valencian armies, would in for practical purposes, from the actuality be to fight to defend regime of Franco and from the imperialist regime which controlled it. This situation has not yet been reached, and it would be altogether false to anticipate every possible way, against the it in action. The way for the war, in Spain and in all countries. Spanish workers is still to beat Marxists would go over to a Franco, which now means fighting position of defeatism with respect loyally in the Valencia armies, without any confidence at all in such a position would correspond the People's Front and its governments, and preparing politically for the establishment of workers' power which alone will carry the war to a successful conclusion in the interests of the masses. But its complete subordination to the if the workers not merely in conflicts, is Spain but on a world scale, are possible even short of its trans- | not to be caught in the web of formation into imperialist war on social-patriotism later on, the a grand scale. In fact, the growing political preparation must also subordination of the progressive look forward to these possible aspects of the Spanish struggle outcomes, which, from all indica-

> Dr. S. D. Schmalhausen Brilliant and Witty Lecturer In a New Series of Lectures Friday, October 1st at 9 p. m. "The World-Shaking Crisis in Radicalism-Reform or Revolution-Which?

Admission 50 cents Questions and Discussion The Thomas Paine Society 88 Seventh Avenue South Greenwich Village, N. Y. C. (7th Avenue Subway to Christopher Street) Send For Free Programs

UNSER WORT

The attention of comrades familiar with the German language is called to "Unser Wort", the official paper of the German Section of the 4th International.

Comrades wishing to subscribe to the paper, or to receive bundle orders should use the following address for communications:

Jean Meichler, B. P. 11 248 Rue des Pyrenees Paris (20e), France

ANNOUNCING the new

PARTISAN REVIEW

a Marxist literary monthly reappearing November, 1937

INDEPENDENT and EXPERIMENTAL: politically independent of all parties and groups; providing a forum for a free discussion of critical problems and for new tendencies in creative writing.

Publishing fiction, poetry; critical essays and reviews on literature, the theater, movies and the arts; articles of a general cultural character; editorials and communications.

Edited by: F. W. DUPEE, DWIGHT MacDONALD, MARY McCARTHY, GEORGE L. K. MORRIS, WIL-LIAM PHILLIPS, PHILIP RAHV.

PARTISAN REVIEW

25c a copy

\$2.00 a year 22 East 17th St. New York, N. Y.

Auto Convention Shows Growth

Victory of Bureaucracy **Shows Left Wing Need**

By a Delegate

The reports of the United Auto | Workers Union convention in the flattery of the press. The more capitalist press gave no hint of events revealed his own incomthe spirit, the boldness, the courage and the resourcefulness displayed by the delegates. Some 1100 of them gather d at Eagle's Hall, Milwaukee, on August 23. Their organization in one year had grown from 30,000 members to almost 375,000. The treasury at the first convention had consisted of some \$34,000. The Auditor's report showed on June 30, 1937 a balance of \$428,000. ed all the job hunters, all the In the brief period of the year opportunists in the union. With since the South Bend convention. the auto workers, by a series of he sent his organizers up and swift, bold and dramatic sitdown strikes had broken down red baiting campaign to throw the fear of the auto workers, fear and confusion into the paralyzed the resistance of the hearts of the union membership. employers, established unions and signed agreements with all of the major automobile and auto parts manufacturers with the single exception of Ford. On the first would be tolerated no longer. day of the convention, the 1,100 delegates paid tribute - to this glorious achievement in a wild, uncontrollable demonstration ship grew alarmed at Martin's which continued unabated hour after hour.

Uniformly the delegates were rank and file representatives from the shops, young men, who "brain trust" from the Lovestone felt a deep responsibility to the membership of their locals. Not once was the convention called to order but that practically every delegate was in his seat, ready for business. Repeatedly they complained to the chair about the long meaningless speeches of lawyers, governors, politicians, which only interfered with the serious work of the convention. Every motion for special night sessions to complete important business, was greeted with a storm of applause.

Background of the Convention

In the great struggle with to join, were accepted. General Motors and the state forces of Michigan, President Martin had proved to be just another sky pilot with a "gift of gab". During all of the crucial negotiations with the General Motors management, Martin was months prior to the Milwaukee in the east, addressing local union meetings.

As soon as the General Motors strike was settled, the Michigan were thrown into turmoil by the newspapers had abruptly "chang- red baiting campaign, how local ed their line" towards the union unions organizers were fired and organization. The previous policy arbitrarily replaced by Martin's timidly, however inadequately spousing shop was abandoned. Now the the caucus, it was explained, was papers discovered great virtues to fight these arbitrary acts, rein the United Automobile Work- establish union democracy and ers Union. As a matter of fact, the militant policies which had the union was the finest thing in built the organization. Plans the world. The only thing they were elaborated for an enlarged objected to, for the good of the meeting of the caucus in the workers themselves it goes with near future, and all delegates inout saying, was some of the "ex- tructed to return to their localicesses" that the union committed.

Bosses' Advice?

Union headquarters were bombarded with a shower of gratuitous advice. What the union needed was "responsibile leadership". What the union needed was to get rid of the irresponsible agitators, discipline its membership and put an end to wildcat unauthorized strikes. Then the union could

Martin swallowed the oily petence, lack of backbone and total inexperience, the more he insisted he was the chosen one to lead the auto workers out of wilderness, and the more determined he became to suppress the opposition of the rank and file, and destroy their democratic rights.

Martin set to work. Using the power of appointment, he gatherample resources in the treasury, down the countryside in a vicious

Industrialists and the capitalist press were informed that henceforth "Communists" would be expelled, unauthorized strikes

Martin's Lovestonites

As the rank and file memberactions, Martin felt the need of expert advice. Through Charles Zimmerman of the I. L. G. W. U. he secured the assistance of a group. Munger was appointed editor of the United Automobile Worker, several other Lovestonites were placed on the payroll and the Lovestonites began acting as the stool pigeons, the spotters hatchet men, the "theoretical experts" for the reactionary Martin faction. The Stalinsts in the union feared that this campaign of red baiting would nean their elimination. With the aid of several of the international officers and two of the executive board members, a national progressive group was organized. Outright reactionaries, union politicians, and anybody who cared first meeting of the progressive

The Toledo Meeting

At the first meeting of the progressive caucus held in Toledo some two and one-half convention, delegations from Flint, Detroit and other centers reported how local organizations the open henchmen, etc. The purpose of ties and arouse their membership to the danger facing the union organization. So far, so good.

Browder Steps In

Then something happened. The Communist Party told its auto people, they had no business fighting Martin. Browder brought the matter up at the plenary session of the Central Committee in June. "On occasion", he said, "we United Mine Workers of America and Homer Martin would unrequestionably become another on big questions of trade union convention.

John L. Lewis.

Dividually ever been snown in any preceiv open capitulation.

Today the further step can be taken. Israel Amter, New York rect to support of the coming policy, consider that trade union convention.

and from this conclusion pass tion to back some reactionary immediately into a head-on colthose workers who follow them. There were dangerous movements of this sort in the Detroit district in connection with the Chrysler strike". He continued, "Labor generally including us Communists who approach this question with our standards, have every reason to proceed to the particular tasks and problems facing us with great confidence in the strategical line of the C.I.O. eadership and of John L. Lewis"

Before the next meeting of the progressive group, a leading Communist Party member introduced a motion in the Detroit district auto council, that all caucuses be prohibited in the auto inion. At the next meeting of the progressive group held in Toledo, the group was re-named the 'unity caucus", and a program submitted practically identical with the program of the Martin machine - the so-called Martin-Frankensteen progressive cau-

It included such points as (1) No caucuses or groups to be allowed in the auto union, (2). Against the influence of "outside organizations" who have members within the automobile union, (3). For support of Labor Non-Partisan League, etc. Those clever Stalinists! They were going to disarm the Martin faction by stealing their program. So determined was this Stalinist crowd to achieve unity with Martin, hat in the caucus they fought .nd finally succeeded in defeating the motions of several Cleveland delegates for the right of members with similar ideas to form groups within the union, for independent labor political action etc. All they asked of Martin was his agreement to allow Mortimer and Hall to remain as vice-presidents of the organization and the retention of the secondary leadership of organizers. At this point, the battle had degenerated into an unprincipled lique fight for union posts.

C. P. Has to Fight

At Milwaukee itself it finally dawned on the Stalinists that they must either fight or they were licked and on their way out. Hastily the "unity group" was were speeches made about there being no differences between the unity group and Martin-Frankensteen. Against their own will he Communist Party combination was compelled to fight, however bureaucracy.

Now the delegates proved eager to fight for democratic rights and for the aggressive policies which had built their union. Time after time Martin was stopped cold in his attempts to wield the gavel and run the convention in the high-handed manner characteristic of labor conventions. Repeatedly the Flint, Detroit, Toledo and Cleveland delegations, all on their feet, would refuse to let Martin go on until some opposition speaker received the floor or until the point of order was ecognized.

Lewis Man Booed

The delegates showed more determination and fight than has probably ever been shown in any pletely open capitulation.

promised the workers' demands, to use the prestige of his posi-supporters. move of the Martin faction. So lision with those leaders and white hot was the general temper that Martin throughout the convenion did not dare chance any open attacks upon the sit down strike or the so-called "unauthorized strikes", but again and again was forced to play up to the militancy of the convention. A resolution introduced by Cleveland Local 217 upholding the sit down strike, was passed by the convention unopposed by the Martin machine.

Lewis Arrives

On Friday, the fifth day of the convention, Lewis himself finally arrived in Milwaukee. But he did not stay long in town. He found union posts, Martin still retains at the convention the same spec- in many cases even greater power ter that had haunted him years back in the United Mine Workers, and Lewis was not in a posiopposition out of existence. After greater determination. a tactful diplomatic oration, he quickly left Milwaukee, leaving precise instructions with Dubinan agreement reached on the Ex- the militancy they are capable ecutive officers that night and of. Several of the leading rank the convention must be adjourned and filers realized before the conthe following day.

tions between the unity group Socialists, did not want to, and and the Martin forces continued, were not capable of organizing with Gassaway and Dubinsky in or leading a fight of this kind. the role of mediators. An agreement was finally announcedseven officers, three from each workers union, on the genuine side with one so-called indepen- basis of principles and policies, lent. The Communist Party lead- to oppose the reactionary Martin ers were jubilant. In reality the clique and to build the auto workpresidents have practically none. the automobile workers and Three quarters of the new gen- labor as a whole.

leaders have unnecessarily com- the convention when he attempted real Executive Board are Martin

Like all phony compromises, it was short-lived. Saturday, shortly after the installation of the general officers, the convention again broke into bedlam over some bureaucratic ruling of Martin and from then on an almost constant uproar continued until Sunday night when the convention was hastily adjourned.

Militancy Diverted

The delegates fight for democracy, for rank and file control, for a militant policy of struggle to preserve the union against the bosses-all this was channelized by the Communist Party strategists into a fight for a couple of and control than he held before the convention. His bureaucratic campaign, he has already antion today to ruthlessly slug the nounced, will continue with even

The convention, however, revealed superbly the auto workers' ability to fight, their detersky and Gassaway that he wanted mination to preserve the union, vention was over that the Com-Late into night the negotia- munist Party and the right wing

Plans are already under way to build a new group in the auto victory" was a defeat. The pres- ers union into a fighting organdent has all the powers, the vice- ization for the class interests of

Browder and LaGuardia

By Fore and Aft

Herald Tribune, Earl Browder has now announced officially the hind the American Labor Party's Communist Party support of La candidate for Mayor, Fiorello H. Guardia for re-election. It must LaGuardia." (Amter's emphasis.) be admitted that this was not much of surprise. However, some others of LaGuardia's friends feel Not a bit of it. No such halfre-named again, the "unity and that Browder really ought to democracy group. No longer have waited for official action ticket offered is such a serious until after the primaries—they are afraid that some of the La Guardia Republicans will be embarrassed slightly by the wholehearted embrace of the La Guardia Communists.

Browder's official statement is Tammanv!" Station WINS.

York State Committee is refraining for the first time in its Hard on the heels of the New history from running a Com-York Times and the New York munist Mayoralty candidate. The Communist Party will throw the full weight of its influence be-

And are the Stalinists giving critical support" to La Guardia? way measures for Browder. "The effort; it satisfies all the conditions which we demand.

"The significance of this election," states Browder, "is even international." And in saying this Browder is entirely correct. In supporting the capitalist candiin the best People's Front man- date, LaGuardia, in this election, ner. In political content of course, Browder is carrying out faiththe arguments are identical with fully the international line of the those used during the national People's Front, is putting through election campaign last year in in the given national and local justifying indirect support of conditions the same policy of Roosevelt. "Defeat Landon- treachery and betrayal which now Liberty League-Hearst!" was the strangles the fight of the workslogan then; now it is, "Defeat ers in Spain, China, and France.
Tammany!" "Forward to the It is not in the least an accident victory of the people over Wall that at the same time that the Street!" shouted Browder over Daily Worker comes into the open Daily Worker comes into the open with its support of La Guardia. But last year the People's it carries on an openly chauvi-Front line in the United States nistic campaign with respect to had not yet been devoted to its the Chinese events, virtually adfuller stage. A Communist can- vocating war by the United States didate still appeared on the ballot, against Japan, and promising in even though he was forgotten in advance complete support of such the campaign. The Stalinist a war. Indeed from the fundamembers themselves were not mental class point of view, the yet prepared to swallow a compolicy of support of LaGuardia

Calif. Aviation The United Front from Below Strike Firm

LOS ANGELES. — Striking the N.L.R.B. will assist them. members of Local 229, United The government is just as in-Auto Workers, have now for the terested as is Douglas in crushing fourth week completely shut trade union organization within down the Northrup divison of the such a basic war industry. Douglas Aircraft Corp. in El Segundo, Calif. The union is now preparing to prevent a back-towork movement sponsored by the Company Aircraft Workers union. Several days ago Donald Douglas published a statement that he was permanently closing the Northrup plant. Charlie Rogers, International Representative of the U.A.W.A. in charge of the strike, answered this by declaring this threat was propaganda issued to demoralize the union men and that in several days the Douglas Corp. would attempt to effect a back-to-work movement.

The prophecy of Rogers has already been proved correct. The union has obtained a copy of a form contract whereby strikebreakers promise to put up a \$15 bond to guarantee their promise not to sit down, strike, or damage company property for a period of one year. In consideration of this agreement, the Douglas Co. promises to make a strong effort to resume operation of the plant and to do all in its power to provide employment for the men for one year.

August 26th, 1937, in order to enforce an agreement secured by the union after the sit-down strike in February of this year. The contract recognized the union, protected rights of seniority, and provided for a plan of negotiation to settle labor disputes. Every provision of the contract has been broken by the Douglas Co.

In August an N.L.R.B. election was held at the plant employing 1500 men. The U.A.W.A. received a majority of the vote over its opponent, the company controlled Aircraft Workers Union. Prior to this election the Company and the Company union had attempted to obtain a Federal injuction to prevent the election and were defeated in this attempt. Immediately after the election the Northrup Company, then controlled by the Douglas Company through ownership of a majority of the stock, was dissolved and made a branch of the parent corporation. The Douglas Company then refused to negotiate might have done had the expulwith the union committees, and began its campaign against the union by dischargiing union men. The strike vote was held after

Profits from Government

150 men had been fired.

The Deuglas Company with ever 36 milion dollars of contracts with the U.S. army and navy, and large contracts with foreign governments for the production of planes is determined to destroy trade union organization within its factories Anticipating expansion of the plants as a result of the war developments, the Company will procede with its policy of utilizing the most ruthless methods to crush the U.A.W. of A. locals.

At the beginning of the strike many of the union men believed that Lewis through Roosevelt would bring pressure on Douglas to settle the strike. Most of the men have now discarded this illusion and now recognize that neither Roosevelt nor the agencies of the government such as

Strike in Danger

The greatest danger facing the strike is the weakness of local 214 of the U.A.W. at the Douglas plant in Santa Monica. At this plant, employing 6500 men, the union has not recovered from the set back suffered at the sit-down strike in February. In the period of reaction after the above strike the Union suffered from the attempt of the Communist Party fraction to obtain control of the union.

Attacking Socialist party members from the floor of the union meeting, slandering them in a whispering campaign, calling them Trotskyist-Fascists in an effort to bring the Moscow frame-up into the union affairs. attacking any rank and file member who opposes their policy and control, has discouraged many members from participating in union affairs. However, the number of C. P. members in the union is comparatively very small and opposition to their tactics is growing in the rank-and-file

Because of the present weak-Company Fights Union ness of the Douglas local there is a danger that company union The Northrup strike was called men will be used as strike breakers in the Northrup strike. There is the further danger that the Douglas plant will be able to absorb enough of the work that was done at the Nortrup plant to lock-out the Northrup men long enough to break the strike.

> The aircraft industry is again the spearhead of the movement to organize Southern California and the defeat of the C.I.O. in this strike will set back the entire movement started in the February strike. The Merchants and Manufacturers Association is aware of this, and more has to be done to make the trade-unionists of this area aware of it also.

> sions of the revolutionary social-

reformism and class collabora-

tion. With centrists and right

Address



${f Times Change in the S.P.!}$

It has been remarked before that history has a tendency to repeat itself. Today, in the Socialist Party this is taking place. o an extent perhaps not realized by many comrades. Two years ago, the Old Guard, with their New Leader, were greatly agitated over the appearance of the Socialist Call, which was invading the field of Socialist journalism, monopolized for years by Oneal and Cahan. They demanded that the NEC do something, and do it auick.

In the August 3, 1935 issue of the Call appeared an editorial which has a very timely sound "Whether or not some

The response to last week's

appeal for subscriptions and con-

tributions was encouraging but

scriptions and contributions WE

ring the APPEAL on

By John Hall

papers continue their factional policy is not the chief issue. What s important is that revolutionary socialism must have its own organ!" "The Call is operated in accord-

ance with present procedure in the Party. The NEC has neither the desire nor the authority to suspend the Call."

It was a swell editorial. On the editorial board were, among others, Murray Baron, Robert Delson, David Felix, Aaron Levenstein, August Tyler, and Herbert Zam. And Jack Altman was business manager! To them, any claim that starting a new Socialist paper was "a gross breach of discipline" was plainly ridiculous. But that was in 1935!

ary Socialists face expulsion from the Party on the technical ground do whatever is easiest can see an of supporting the Socialist easy way out. The People's Front Appeal. And the very ones who appears to be taking shape here supported the position quoted n America (and kicking its godabove are among those demanding Every effort is being made to the expulsions. Obviously, some- every turn) in the form of "prochanged. Just as obviously, it must be these former Best of all, LaFollette and La militants, for the Party rules Guardia and such have a habit left that presents the revolution- selves be handicapped by late have not changed. I think that the of winning elections, such a reason can be found expressed change from the dismal business in the lucid style of August Tyler, writing in the lone issue of Social- not get you an office, but simply ist Clarity. "They (the Stalinists) have merely consigned theory to the realm of theory. on the bandwagon, the peamt Their principles are like the ritual of some deadreligion; something not going to let Party legality, to which one pays homage on high days, holidays and conventions. But which, as all practical people know, has nothing at all to do with their daily practices".

The rise of the militant movement in the Socialist Party was a necessary response to the clear indication, by world events, that the working class lacked one prerequisite to a successful struggle for Socialism—a clear revolutionary party on the international scene. Only the ossified (like the Old Guard) could deny that. A thousands varieties or 50th St. and 8th Ave. Down with radicals and semi-radicals pro- Fascism!

claimed it. It was the best way to assure one's self of a hearing. As a result, it was comparativeley easy for the Militants to win control of the Party away from the aged, time-serving Old Guard, though the so-called leadership of the Militants showed a touching reluctance to be rough with the Old Guard in taking over the Party. Then, faced with the necessity of building the revolutionary party, many of the Militants began to show a curious shyness. Such a task is not for playboys, lovers of large words, or sentimental pacifistic parsons.

By

The challenge to apply Marxist principles in action faced the party almost immediately. The hardships of carrying on our independent Socialist campaign last year slowed the bright young Today, thousands of revolution- men right down to a walk. And today those who talk radical and mother, the C. P., in the face at gressive" and of running campaigns which do politicians and muddleheads, are Socialist ethics and principles, or even common honesty stand in their way.

ary Marxian point of view, ed- publication. Double your efforts-sub-

the Call, there is only one paper this—and we refuse to let our-Contracts itorially and in reporting the news-THE APPEAL. With the APPEAL to back us MUST HAVE. Here's another in our activities, we will go blank. Use it without delay.

Socialist Appeal 100 Fifth Ave.	Date
Room 1609	·
New York City	
Socialist Appeal. (\$1.00).	for which please send me the One year—(\$2.00); Six Months— as my contribution toward list Appeal.

Compare The "Appeal"

with the Socialist Call. The Ap- places and do things, BUT WE

wingers controlling the policy of So far we have been able to do

peal is doing a job that the Call MUST BACK THE APPEAL.

ists not left it in the slough of far from adequate.

BULLETIN

There will be a protest demonstration against the Nazi rally at Madison Square Garden, t**his** Sunday, Oct. 3.

We must reply! Every comrade out.

Mobilize at headquarters, 116 University Place at 5:30 P. M. All others should report as soon as possible at the Garde